Hierarchical Approach to Transfer of Control in Semi-Autonomous Systems

(Extended Abstract)

Kyle Hollins Wray    Luis Pineda    Shlomo Zilberstein
College of Information and Computer Sciences
University of Massachusetts Amherst
{wray,lpineda,shlomo}@cs.umass.edu

ABSTRACT

Semi-Autonomous Systems (SAS) describe a class of systems that are explicitly controlled by both human and agent actors, incorporating the distinct capabilities of each. They are designed for domains that require quick and safe transfer of control between the agent and human, and embed control transfer decisions in the overall high-level plan. We formally define SAS as a hierarchical model and its properties. We discuss how micro-level transfer of control and macro-level path planning can be solved together. Finally, we explore how SAS can be applied to semi-autonomous vehicles.

1. INTRODUCTION

Purely autonomous systems have been applied to a wide range of domains ranging from water reservoir control [3] to energy-conserving smart environments [7]. Almost all applications, however, require human intervention as part of their standard operating procedure. Few models actively incorporate this collaboration, and instead use hard-coded default behaviors [2]. Semi-Autonomous Systems (SAS) are models that explicitly model the collaboration of actors, in order to proactively utilize their respective capabilities [11].

New challenges arise in semi-autonomous systems due to the inherent uncertainty and complexity of human behavior. We consider semi-autonomous driving [10] as our target application. Within this domain, vehicles can only operate autonomous on a subset of the roads (e.g., only well-mapped roads). For longer routes, the vehicle requires the human to occasionally take control during execution. This transfer of control process requires second to second monitoring as the vehicle messages the driver to change the vehicle’s controlling entity. It may be unsuccessful due to the state of the human (e.g., distracted) or simply time limitations.

The proposed collaborative multiagent framework is quite distinct from other models such as Shared Plans [4], Teamwork [9], and Dec-POMDPs [1]. First, SAS requires exactly one actor in control at a time. Second, transfer of control must be explicitly modeled. Finally, SAS must proactively leverage each actor’s capabilities (or lack thereof) as it efficiently moves through the state space.
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In Equation 1, the first component corresponds to keeping the current actor, which simply follows the actor’s state transition. The second component describes the actor still in control but seeking to switch to a different actor at the next state. Finally, the third component indicates that it is impossible to take away control from an actor without the desire to transfer control. The separation of macro-level planning and micro-level transfer of control denotes a hierarchical approach, as a type of option [8].

The actor capability function ψ defines which actors can act in each state. In the semi-autonomous driving domain, ψ(s) always contains the human λ, but only includes the vehicle ν on a subset of the roads on which it can operate. This function induces the set of live states L (Definition 1). Live states describe states in which the system is alive or safe. We impose live state constraints that guarantee non-live states are unable to reach any goal state, also called dead ends [6]. We characterize both policies and systems based on their ability to maintain live state. Specifically, if a policy guarantees live state, then we call it strong; otherwise, it is weak. A SAS is called strong if its optimal policy is strong, and weak otherwise. In practice, this requires that we prove the transfer of control ρ is well-behaved.

3. DESIGN AND APPLICATION

Designing semi-autonomous systems first requires creating the transfer of control process, then defining the SAS itself.

3.1 Transfer of Control

Transfer of Control (TOC) is the critical method that enables effective and safe transference of the controlling entity in the system. TOC considers the sequences of messages to the human (or other actors) that preserves the human’s amiable perception of the agent (e.g., aggregated annoyance) while still effectively conveying the desired intentions. We assume this process can always be aborted safely. For example, in semi-autonomous driving, the vehicle will pull over to the side of the road.

This process can be modeled as a POMDP [5]. States encode time remaining, information regarding the last message sent, and a notion of the human state. Actions are the TOC messages and an abort action. Observations encode noisy sensors within the SAS that monitor the human. The state transition and observation functions capture the uncertainty regarding the human’s response to messages.

3.2 Semi-Autonomous Vehicles

The application of our TOC model produces a ρ, based on its performance in transferring control in various scenarios. A SAS for Semi-Autonomous Vehicles (SAVE) can be created using a weighted directed graph of roads with start and end vertices. Each vertex corresponds to an intersection. Edge weights denote the time spent on each road following the speed limit. This SAVE graph is represented as the standard states S in the SAS. The standard actions A are directions to take at intersections. The state transitions Tν follow from the graph, only entering dead ends when the vehicle ν enters a road in which it cannot drive.

The transfer of control process can be used to effectively notify the user with ever-increasing persistence (Figure 1). This transfer process is captured in the SAVE transition, using pre-solved instances of the TOC POMDP model as a kind of option, thus behaving as a hierarchical model.

![Figure 1: Semi-autonomous vehicle simulator: System requests to transfer control to a busy driver.](image)

4. CONCLUSION

We present a new multiagent model for semi-autonomous systems. It enables explicit modeling of agents (actor), including humans, each with their own capabilities. We show how a POMDP can be used to solve the transfer of control problem, enabling a safe change of the controlling entity. Finally, we state how semi-autonomous vehicles may be modeled as a SAS.
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