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ABSTRACT

Global increase in trade leads to congestion of maritime traffic at the ports. This often leads to increased maritime incidents or near-miss situations. To improve maritime safety while maintaining efficiency, movement of vessels needs to be better coordinated. Our work formulates this problem of coordinating the paths of vessels as a multi-agent path-finding (MAPF) problem. To address this problem, we introduce an innovative application of MAPF in the maritime domain known as Vessel Coordination Module (VCM). Based on the local search paradigm, VCM plans on a joint state space updated using the Electronic Navigation Charts (ENC) and the paths of vessels. We introduce the notion of path quality that measures the number of positions on a vessel path that is too close to some other vessels spatially and temporally. VCM aims to improve the overall path quality of vessels by improving path quality of selected vessels. Experiments are conducted on the Singapore Straits to evaluate and compare performance of our proposed approach in heterogeneous maritime scenario. Our experimental results show that VCM can improve the overall path quality of the vessels.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The Straits of Malacca has long been a major passageway for movement of goods to and from Asia. As the busiest transshipment port in the world, Singapore faces challenges of receiving larger vessels and increased volume of vessel traffic calling on her port as well as in transition. Due to environment and regulatory constraints, vessels can only use narrow strips of water passages for entering and leaving the ports. Congestion along certain parts of Singapore Strait leads to increased risk of maritime incidents and near-miss situations. To mitigate congestion for improving safety and efficiency of vessel traffic, the movement of vessels needs to be better coordinated. This task of coordinating vessel traffic is made possible by the wide adoption of the standard Automatic Identification System (AIS) on vessels and by Vessel Traffic Services (VTS). It is possible to make use of AIS data to determine paths of vessels, and apply intelligent technology to coordinate vessel traffic.

Recent years saw gradual adoption of multi-agent technologies by the industry [12]. In particular, agent-based simulation is used for addressing problems in international maritime transport security [6]. Agent behaviors are represented using finite-state machines. Vessel operational characteristics and environmental data are included to improve the realism of the simulation. Individual plans and coordination methods can then be evaluated reliably. VTS domain is a complex socio-technical system [13]. Control over vessel traffic is maintained through a mixture of opportunistic and tactical control strategies. More strategic and tactical control within daily VTS operations are recommended. Existing literature in VTS domain are mostly descriptive. Their focus is mainly on developing tools for aggregating multiple streams of information to aid decision-making. Techniques recommending courses-of-actions are rare.

Our work addresses a real world problem of coordinating movement of vessels by considering dynamic changes happening at sea. A key contribution of our work lies in formulating this problem as a multi-agent path-finding (MAPF) problem contextualized with the additional complexity of maritime traffic and port operation activities. To solve this problem, we introduce an innovative application of search algorithm in maritime domain known as Vessel Coordination Module (VCM). VCM coordinates movement of heterogeneous vessels (agents) by replanning paths of selected agents to mitigate congestion and near-miss situations. Planning on a joint state space, the cost of nodes is based on Electronic Navigation Chart features and the known paths of agents. We define the notion of path quality that measures the number of positions on an agent path which is too close to some other vessels spatially and temporally.

As a second contribution of this paper, we proposed a Coordinated Path Finding (CPF) algorithm used within VCM to find paths with the best aggregated path quality. Based on the local search paradigm, variants of the proposed CPF algorithm are evaluated. Experiments are conducted to evaluate the efficacy of CPF algorithm in heterogeneous maritime scenarios based on maritime data of Singapore Straits. The performance of several variants of VCM are compared to identify the best performing variant. Our experimental results show the best performing variant of CPF algorithm is capable of improving the aggregated path quality.
The presentation of this work continues in Section 2 with the related works. We motivate and define the problem in Section 3. We present our proposed Vessel Coordination Module (VCM) in Section 4. The experiments and the results are presented in Section 5. Conclusion and a summary of our work is presented in Section 6.

2. RELATED WORK

Related works based on the topic of vessel traffic management are surveyed in Section 2.1. Related works on multi-agent path-finding are surveyed in Section 2.2.

2.1 Vessel Traffic Management

Several recent works on the topic of vessel traffic management are known. Most of these works aim to improve operational efficiency and safety of vessels. The survey focuses on their techniques for managing vessel traffic.

A Vessel Traffic Management and Information System (VTMIS) developed in [4] has an expert system comprising vessel motion models, hydro-dynamic prediction model and vessel traffic flow model. The use of that VTMIS is aimed at preventing or reducing maritime incidents occurring in narrow waterways such as the Turkish Straits. There is also a vessel traffic management system (VTMS) for cooperative decision-making among all actors of vessel traffic management [10]. That VTMS derives vessel paths by accounting for possible drift, meteorological conditions and sea state to improve situation-awareness for all actors. Decision aids are provided to help the actors to find solution to their problem in heterogeneous maritime environment.

A decision support system comprising a spatio-temporal geo-referenced database, a simulator and data post-processing tools is developed in [11]. It is used for managing the navigation activities in the St. Lawrence River Estuary in Canada. Paths of vessels are based on AIS data of real vessel traffic. The simulator is used for testing management scenarios of vessel traffic. Another decision support system based on Artificial Neural Network (ANN) is developed in [14]. ANN is trained using real data from Automatic Identification System (AIS). The trained ANN is trained to predict position of vessels three minutes from the initial point of evaluation. The predicted positions inform VTS operators of evolving conditions of vessel traffic. The routing of ships for the entire voyage can also be formulated as a mixed-integer programming model and solved using rolling horizon heuristic (RHH) [1]. RHH integrates speed optimization and planning of shipping paths. It may also be suitable for problems with long planning horizon.

Almost all the above-mentioned works focus on aggregating data and information from multiple sources to support decision-making of users. There is little or no attempt to coordinate movement of vessels by prescribing paths. Understandably, it is non-trivial to prescribe alternative paths due to lack of effective coordination strategy for the maritime domain where there is the need to consider existing regulatory and environmental constraints. We hope to address this issue by introducing an application capable of prescribing safer and more effective paths to vessels.

2.2 Multi-Agent Path Finding

Multi-agent path finding (MAPF) problem is a well-studied problem. Numerous variants of MAPF problem are known. Several recent works addressing some of these MAPF problems are surveyed here.

A high performance path-planning algorithm for 3D+time planning known as Accelerated A* is developed in [15]. Performance of Accelerated A* algorithm is compared with Theta*, Rapid-Exploring Random Trees and original A* algorithms. Experiment results show Accelerated A* can give the shortest paths. But it is slower than Theta* and Rapid-Exploring Random Trees. Accelerated A* is parallelized in [8] to accelerate planning of path. A hashing function based on geographical partitioning of search space is used to schedule the workload at the cores. Experiment results reveal significant reduction of planning time while preserving the quality of the planned paths.

The works developed in [2] and [7] are most similar to our work. A penalty-based method known as k-step Penalty Method (kPM) for improving success rate and quality of planned collision-free path is seen in [2]. Similar to [2], our work coordinates movement of agent i by considering relevant information of neighboring agent j when updating joint state space.

An anytime algorithm known as ORCA-RRT* integrating a reactive approach known as ORCA and a sampling-based algorithm known as RRT* algorithm is developed in [7]. ORCA-RRT* algorithm patches weaknesses of either algorithms for finding coordinated paths for holonomic agents in 2-D polygonal environments. Similar to [7], our work propose solution for finding coordinated paths of agents with unsafe paths. We are using a joint state space for finding paths that allow vessels to move in coordinated manner without the need for any explicit coordination among them.

In essence, our work addressed MAPF problem with added complexity of maritime domain. A multi-agent system in maritime domain is distributed and heterogeneous. Vessels, represented as agents, have different movement characteristics, dimensions and ship domains. In contrast to many MAPF problems, our work considers agent i occupies multiple nodes on a joint state space G. The size of the nodes is dependent on the minimum SOG vmin, of vessels in area-of-interest (AOI). Like many other MAPF problems, our nodes have the same dimension. But, our work accounts for agents moving at different speeds along its path.

3. PROBLEM DEFINITION

This section describes a motivating problem in Section 3.1 and defines the problem formally in Section 3.2.

3.1 Motivating Problem

Existing vessel traffic regulation requires vessels to enter and leave port waters using designated regions of the traffic separation scheme (TSS) [16]. One such designated region in Singapore Straits is illustrated using Fig. 1. It is non-trivial to plan incident-free paths without coordinating movement of vessels. Typically, this task of coordinating movement of vessels is taken up by Vessel Traffic Service (VTS). Thus, we are introducing an innovative application capable of suggesting coordinated paths to vessels with unsafe paths.

3.2 Problem Statement

In the maritime domain, it is necessary to consider many environmental and regulatory features when planning paths for vessels. This work addresses a multi-agent path finding (MAPF) problem defined with a population $\mathcal{N}$ of agents
operating on joint state space $\mathcal{G}$.

**Definition 3.1.** Joint State Space $\mathcal{G} = \{N(\mathcal{G}),E(\mathcal{G})\}$ is a graph comprising a set of nodes $N(\mathcal{G})$ and edges $E(\mathcal{G})$ defined for an area-of-interest (AOI).

As illustrated in Fig. 2(right), node $p \in \mathcal{G}$ is a hexagon. Therefore, node $p$ has a maximum degree of 6. Edge $e_{(p,q)} \in E(\mathcal{G})$ connects adjacent nodes $p$ and $q$. Distance $d(p,q)$ between adjacent nodes $p$ and $q$ is denoted as $d_{\min}(p,q)$. Distance $d(p,r)$ between non-adjacent nodes $p$ and $r$ is specified as multiples of $d_{\min}(p,q)$. The minimum distance $d_{\min}(p,q)$ is derived using

$$d_{\min}(p,q) = v_{\min} \times \Delta t$$

where $v_{\min}$ is the minimum cruising SOG of vessels and $\Delta t$ is the sampling interval of agent positions.

**Definition 3.2.** Agent $i \in \mathcal{N}$ represents a vessel having a circular ship domain defined by its physical radius $r_i$ and safety perimeter $s_i$, and a path $\pi_i(o_i,d_i,v_i)$ specifying its movement from node $o_i$ to node $d_i$ at cruising SOG $v_i$.

For simplicity, we assume that each agent starts its path from $o_i$ at time step 0. The cruising SOG $v_i$ of agent $i$ determines the number of grids it will move in one time step. It lies in range defined using $v_{\min}$ and $v_{\max}$. As illustrated in Fig. 2(middle), it is expressed as multiples of $v_{\min}$. For each agent $i$, if $v_i = v_{\min}$, then the two consecutive nodes on its path are adjacent, otherwise, they are not adjacent.

The physical radius $r_i$ of agent $i$ is the circular region of grids emanating from the centroid grid. As illustrated in Fig. 2(left), $r_i$ is based on vessel type. The safety perimeter $s_i$ is the additional number of circular region of grids beyond $r_i$. This is the distance agent $i$ must keep from agent $j$ in order to be deemed safe. As illustrated in Fig. 2(left), the safety perimeter may vary among vessels of the same type.

As defined in Definition 3.2, agent $i$ has a circular ship domain. Given an agent $i$ on a node $k$ at time $t$, we can define its neighborhood $T(k)$ as follows.

**Definition 3.3.** Neighborhood $T(k)$ of agent $i$ at node $k$ is a layered subgraph of $\mathcal{G}$ with node $k$ as the only node at layer 0 and all its adjacent nodes at layer 1, nodes adjacent to layer 1’s nodes at layer 2, and so on, ending at layer $r_i + s_i - 1$.

The safety level of path $\pi_i$ of agent $i$ is determined with respect to its neighborhood $T(k)$ at each location $k$ along its path. Let $k$ and $l$ denote the respective locations of agents $i$ and $j$ respectively at time $t$. Then,

**Definition 3.4.** An Unsafe Condition exists when $T(k) \cap T(l) \neq \emptyset$.

Hence, to prevent the unsafe condition from occurring, it is necessary to coordinate movement of agent $i$ and agent $j$ such that at every time step $t$, we require that $\{T(k) \cap T(l) \equiv \emptyset\}$ where $k$ and $l$ denote agents $i$ and $j$’s locations respectively at time $t$.

### 4. COORDINATING VESSEL TRAFFIC

Here, we introduce our innovative application of search algorithm in maritime domain known as the Vessel Coordination Module (VCM) in this section. Given a maritime scenario of vessels in an area of interest (AOI), the goal of VCM is to recommend coordinated paths for the vessels. Inputs to VCM are described in Section 4.1. A search algorithm known as Coordinated Path Finding (CPF) algorithm is described in Section 4.2. Outputs of VCM are described in Section 4.3.

**Figure 3: Architecture of Vessels Coordination Module (VCM).**

The architecture of VCM is illustrated in Fig. 3. Capable of operating in either historical or live mode, VCM accepts
data from Automatic Identification System (AIS) and Electronic Navigation Chart (ENC) as raw inputs. The raw inputs are processed and visualized using a Geographic Information Software (GIS) and forwarded to the Input Module. The Input Module transforms the raw and processed data into the joint state space and the initial paths for the Search Module. Using these inputs, the Search Module searches for the coordinated paths. The Output Module determines the aggregated path quality, organizes the paths and sends it to GIS visualization tool and as feedback to the Input Module.

### 4.1 Input

From Fig. 3, the Coordination Module of VCM uses the initial paths $\Pi(N,0)$ of agent population $N$, the initial joint state space $G(0)$ and the selected ENC features as inputs.

**Agent Paths** $\Pi(N)$: At a slight abuse of notation, we let $\Pi(N,t)$ denote the set of paths associated with agents $N$ at iteration $t$, and $Q(\Pi(N,t))$ denote the aggregated path quality of $\Pi(N,t)$. Given $\Pi(N,0)$, VCM seeks to improve $Q(\Pi(N,t))$ by improving path quality of selected agent paths at iteration $t$. More precisely, VCM generates the set of paths at the final iteration $T$, such that $Q(\Pi(N,T)) > Q(\Pi(N,0))$.

![Figure 4: Illustration of processes for extracting the initial paths $\Pi(N,0)$ from AIS data and forming the joint state space $G(0)$.](image)

When VCM is used in historical mode, VCM operates on historical AIS data. Initial paths $\Pi(N,0)$ comprise paths extracted from AIS data of vessels operating in selected AOI. From Fig. 4, AIS data from the selected time frame is rearranged to form the paths of vessels. An area-of-interest is identified by analyzing paths of vessels for areas of high vessel traffic volume with significant concern for safety and efficiency of vessels. After that, paths of vessels are trimmed to only contain positions within the AOI. Positions on paths of vessels are interpolated to make sure positions of all vessels are known at the same time marks. After that, initial paths $\Pi(N,0)$ is formed using initial joint state space $G(0)$ by translating positions on paths specified in GPS coordinates into identification (ID) number of nodes on $G(0)$.

When VCM is used in live mode, VCM operates on live data from the StraitRep of vessels. The initial paths $\Pi(N,0)$ are predicted using the initial joint state space $G(0)$ and origin and destination (O-D) pairs of vessels. Such information is available because it is mandatory for vessels navigating in Straits of Malacca and Singapore (SOMS) to submit StraitRep at regular interval [9]. O-D pairs of vessels from the StraitRep are specified in GPS coordinates and have to be translated into node ID using $G(0)$. After that, $\Pi(N,0)$ can be predicted using the translated O-D pairs.

**Joint State Space** $\mathcal{G}$: Agents use joint state space $\mathcal{G}$ for path finding. As defined in Definition 3.1, $\mathcal{G}$ is defined for an area-of-interest (AOI). Size of grid is defined using (1). Also illustrated in Fig. 4, minimum SOG $v_{\text{min}}$ is determined by analyzing the raw paths of vessels in AOI. Sampling interval $\Delta t$ is an user choice. Using $d_{\text{min}}$, joint state space $G(0)$ is formed as a collection of a hexagon nodes of equal length at all sides.

Each node $i \in N(\mathcal{G})$ has cost feature $C_i$ such that $C_i = \alpha_i(t) + \beta_i$ where $\alpha_i(t)$ is the aggregated cost of time-dependent cost features and $\beta_i$ is the aggregated cost of time-independent cost features. The time-dependent cost features of node $i$ are based on intersection check performed on the neighborhood of all nodes on path of all agents. The idea is to increase the cost of nodes common to the neighborhoods of nodes on paths of different agents at the same iteration.

**Algorithm 1: Update Joint State Space $\mathcal{G}$**

- **Require**: Set of paths $\Pi(N,t_s)$ and joint state space $\mathcal{G}(t_s)$
- **1**: for agent $i \in N$ do
- **2**: for node $k \in \pi_i$ do
- **3**: Form neighborhood $T(k)$ with node $k$ as the layer 0 node
- **4**: Get position of node $k$ in $\pi_i$ as time step $t$
- **5**: for agent $j \in N$ and $i \neq j$ do
- **6**: Get position of agent $j$ at time step $t$ as node $l$
- **7**: Form neighborhood $T(l)$ with node $l$ as the layer 0 node
- **8**: if $T(l) \cap T(k) \neq \emptyset$ then
- **9**: Calculate $\alpha_m(t_s)$ of node $m \in T(k)$ w.r.t. node $n \in T(l)$ using
- **10**: $\alpha_m^{\text{new}}(t_s) = \alpha_m^{\text{old}}(t_s) + \frac{\delta_{\text{max}} - \|r - s\|}{\delta_{\text{max}}} \quad (2)$
- **11**: where $\delta_{\text{max}}$ is derived using
- **12**: $\delta_{\text{max}} = \max_{r \in T(l), s \in T(k)} \|r - s\| \quad (3)$
- **13**: end if
- **14**: end for
- **15**: return updated joint state space $\mathcal{G}(t_s)$

The process of updating $C_i$ is outlined in Algorithm 1 and described as follows.

Consider two agents $i$ and $j$ having paths $\pi_i$ and $\pi_j$, respectively. At iteration $t$, agent $i$ is at node $k \in \pi_i$, and agent $j$ is at node $l \in \pi_j$. Node $k$ has neighborhood $T(k)$ and node $l$ has neighborhood $T(l)$ such that $T(l) \cap T(k) \neq \emptyset$. Intersection between $T(k)$ and $T(l)$ at iteration $t$ triggers update of $\alpha_m^{\text{new}}(t_s)$ of node $k$ using (2).

**ENC Features**: ENC is used by vessels to navigate the waterways. Normally used with a Electronic Chart Display and Information System (ECDIS), selected ENC features are used here for calculating aggregated cost of time-independent cost features $\beta_m$.

Our work uses a fixed number $N_{\text{ENC}}$ of ENC features for calculating $\beta_m$ of node $m$. Our choice of ENC features are shown in Table 2. The ENC features are scattered throughout the AOI. Therefore, node $m \in N(\mathcal{G})$ will not have all ENC features. A specific cost $\beta_m^{\text{ENC}_e}$ is attributed to ENC feature $\text{ENC}_e$ in node $m$. The normalized
time-independent cost $\beta_m$ is derived using
\begin{equation}
\beta_m = \frac{\sum_{n \in ENC} \beta_m(ENC_n)}{N_{ENC}}
\end{equation}

Following that, standard path planner such as $A^*$ [3] may be used to construct paths avoiding the expensive nodes, thereby achieving the effect of coordinating movement of agents.

4.2 The Search Strategy

The strategy for coordinating movement of vessels known as Coordinated Path Finding (CPF) algorithm is outlined in Algorithm 2. Based on the local search paradigm, CPF algorithm searches for a solution comprising a set of paths $\Pi(N)$ such that $Q(\Pi(N, t_s))$ approaches 1.0. An optimal solution has $Q(\Pi(N, t_s)) \equiv 1.0$ indicating none of the paths in $\Pi(N, t_s)$ is unsafe (see Definition 3.4).

CPF begins search ($t_s = 0$) from an initial set of paths $\Pi(N, 0)$ and an initial joint state space $\mathcal{G}(0)$. State of outer loop is a solution point $s_p(t_s)$ of the search process. A solution point $s_p(t_s)$ at search iteration $t_s$ has a set of path $\Pi(N, t_s)$ whose aggregated path quality $Q(\Pi(N, t_s))$ lies in range $0 \leq Q(\Pi(N, t_s)) \leq 1.0$.

At the inner loop, a neighborhood search at solution point $s_p(t_s)$ begins with all agents in open list $\Theta_0$. At search iteration $t_s$, agent $i$ is selected from $\Theta_0$ using a heuristic strategy known as $\alpha$-strategy. A standard path planning such as $A^*$ [3] is used to plan a trial path $\pi_i(t_s)$ on $\mathcal{G}(t_s)$ for agent $i$. Aggregated path quality $Q(\Pi(N, t_s))$ is determined using trial path $\pi_i(t_s)$. Agent $i$ is added to winner list $\Theta_w$ when $Q(\Pi(N, t_s))$ is better than the best-so-far aggregated path quality $Q^*(\Pi(N))$. Neighborhood search at $s_p(t_s)$ continues until $\Theta_0 = \emptyset$.

Algorithm 2 Coordinated Path Finding (CPF) Algorithm.

Require: Initial set of paths $\Pi(N, 0)$ and initial joint state space $\mathcal{G}(0)$

1: Determine initial aggregated path quality $Q(\Pi(N, 0))$
2: At $t_s = 0$, initialize joint state space $\mathcal{G}(t_s)$ using $\Pi(N, 0)$
3: while $Q(\Pi(N, t_s - 1)) \neq Q(\Pi(N, t_s))$ do
4: At solution point $s_p(t_s)$, populate open list $\Theta_0$ with all agents
5: while ($\Theta_0 \neq \emptyset$) do
6: Select agent $i$ using $\alpha$-strategy from open list $\Theta_0$
7: Plan trial path $\pi_i(t_s)$ using joint state space $\mathcal{G}(t_s)$
8: Update joint state space as $\mathcal{G}'(t_s)$ using $\pi_i$
9: Determine aggregated path quality $Q^*(\Pi(N, t_s))$
10: if $\text{Better}(Q^*(\Pi(N, t_s)), Q^*(\Pi(N)))$ then
11: $\text{Add agent } i \text{ to winner list } \Theta_w$
12: Save $\pi_i$ as candidate path $\pi_i^*$
13: end if
14: Revert to path $\pi_i$ for agent $i$ and $G(t_s)$
15: Transfer agent $i$ from open list $\Theta_0$ to closed list $\Theta_c$
16: end while
17: Select winner agent $i^*$ using $\beta$-strategy from winner list $\Theta_w$
18: Commit $\pi_i = \pi_i^*$ to create new solution point $s_p$
19: Update joint state space $\mathcal{G}(t_s)$ using $\pi_i$
20: Clear closed list $\Theta_c$ and winner list $\Theta_w$
21: $s_p(t_s) = s_p(t_s) + 1$ and $s_p(t_s) = s_p(t_s)$
22: end while
23: return coordinated path $\Pi(N, t_s)$

After neighborhood search, winning agent $i^*$ is selected from $\Theta_w$ using another heuristic strategy known as $\beta$-strategy. The saved candidate path $\pi_i^*(t_s)$ becomes path $\pi_i(t_s)$ of agent $i$, creating new solution point $s_p(t_s)$. Joint state space $\mathcal{G}(t_s)$ is then updated for $s_p$. Closed list $\Theta_c$ and winner list $\Theta_w$ are cleared for the next search iteration. The search continues until a terminal solution point $s_p(t_s)$ where $Q(\Pi(N, t_s - 1)) \equiv Q(\Pi(N, t_s))$.

4.3 Output

VCM produces three kinds of outputs at the final search iteration $T_s$. The aggregated path quality $Q(\Pi(N, T_s))$ is the quantitative output. As outlined in Algorithm 3, path quality $q(\pi_i)$ is calculated using a similar approach of checking intersection between neighborhoods of nodes on paths $\pi_i$ and $\pi_j$. To determine $q(\pi_i)$, it is sufficient to track the number of intersection $\pi_i$ has with some other paths using $\omega(\pi_i)$. After that, $q(\pi_i)$ is calculated using (5).

Algorithm 3 Calculate Path Quality $q(\pi_i)$ of Agent $i$.

Require: Path $\pi_i$, of agent $i$ leading from Node $o_i$, to Node $d_i$

Ensure: $\omega(\pi_i) = 0$
1: for node $k \in \pi_i$ do
2: Form neighborhood $\mathcal{T}(k)$ with node $k$, as the layer $0$ node
3: Get position of node $k$ in $\pi_i$, as time step $t$
4: for agent $j \in N^i$ and $i \neq j$ do
5: Get position of agent $j$ at time step $t$ as node $l$
6: Form neighborhood $\mathcal{T}(l)$ with node $l$, as the layer $0$ node
7: if $\mathcal{T}(k) \cap \mathcal{T}(l) \neq \emptyset$ then
8: $\omega(\pi_i, \pi_j) = \omega(\pi_i) + 1$
9: end if
10: end for
11: Calculate $q(\pi_i)$ using
\begin{equation}
q(\pi_i) = 1.0 - \frac{\omega(\pi_i)}{|\pi_i|}
\end{equation}
12: end for
13: return path quality $q(\pi_i)$

There is also the set of paths $\Pi(N, T_s)$ discovered using CPF algorithm. For $\Pi(N, T_s)$ to be usable, ID of node $k$ on path $\pi_i$ has to be translated into GPS coordinates by using the same mapping function for translating from GPS coordinates to node ID in reversed order. Only after that, paths $\Pi(N, T_s)$ can be used to guide vessels to navigate in a coordinated manner without any explicit coordination among them. As illustrated in Fig. 5, the translated $\Pi(N, T_s)$ can also be visualized using a GIS visualization tool [17].

5. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Experiments are conducted to evaluate the efficacy of VCM in addressing the MAPF problem of coordinating multiple vessels in heterogeneous maritime domain. The design choices of the experiments are presented in Section 5.1. The experiment results are presented in Section 5.2.

5.1 Design of Experiments

Experiments are conducted for evaluating performance of VCM on selected key parameters comprising safety parameters $\lambda$, $\alpha$-strategies, $\beta$-strategies and search criteria $\beta$. Safety parameters $\lambda$ used in the experiments are $\lambda = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. 
α-strategies select agents from open list Θw. β-strategies select winning agents from winner list Θw. Choices of α-strategies and β-strategies include worst, best, random and probabilistic strategies. α-strategies and β-strategies select agent based on search criteria sε. We have path quality q(πi, ti) and path cost c(πi, ti) of path πi as the search criteria.

The worst strategy picks agent i such that i = arg minεN(q(πi)) when sε ≡ q(πi), or i = arg maxεN(c(πi)) when sε ≡ c(πi).
The best strategy picks agent i such that i = arg maxεN(q(πi)) when sε ≡ q(πi) or i = arg minεN(c(πi)) when sε ≡ c(πi).
The random strategy picks agent i randomly without any consideration for search criteria sε. The probabilistic strategy picks agent i at a probability determined using $e^{−\lambda_p}$ where 0.0 ≤ $\lambda_p$ < 1.0. In the experiments, $\lambda_p$ = 0.5 is used.

The performance of VCM is illustrated using a heterogeneous scenario. The heterogeneity of scenario is implemented using vessel types. The types of vessel are characterized by two static attributes and one dynamic attribute. For agent i, the circular ship domain is the static attribute defined using physical radius $r_i$ and safety parameter $\lambda_i$. The dynamic attribute is cruising SOG $v_i$. Agent i is assumed to move at constant cruising speed $v_i$ along path $\pi_i$.

The experiments simulate the use of VCM in live mode. Thus, the initial set of paths $\Pi(N, 0)$ is planned using unique O-D pairs of 12 heterogeneous agents. The full set of attributes of the heterogeneous agents are presented using Table 1. The unique O-D pairs leads to $\Pi(N, 0)$ illustrated in Fig. 5.1. Also, for ease of comparison, the search process is performed for a fixed number of iterations rather than stopping when $Q(\Pi(N, t_i) - 1) \equiv Q(\Pi(N, t_i))$. The experiments are performed for 5 runs. Experiment results from a run of the experiment are averaged using sliding window of size 2. After that, the experiment results are further averaged of 5 runs of the experiments.

The ENC features used here are shown in Table 2. The ENC features defining the navigable areas are FAIRWY, TSSLPT, TSEZNE, TSSLPT:ORIENT, RECTRC and NAVLINE [5]. Areas not defined with any ENC features are referred to as the unregulated areas in Table 2. Vessels are not encouraged to be in these areas. So, a high cost value is given to discourage use of nodes from these areas in the paths.

### Table 1: Attributes of heterogeneous agents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agent ID</th>
<th>Vessel Type</th>
<th>Physical Radius $r_i$</th>
<th>SOG $v_i$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td>VLCC</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9, 10</td>
<td>VLCC</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, 4</td>
<td>SuezMax</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11, 12</td>
<td>SuezMax</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5, 6</td>
<td>Aframax</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7, 8</td>
<td>ULCC</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The experiment results are presented as the amount of improvement $\Delta%$ of $Q(\Pi(N', t_i))$ from baseline $Q(\Pi(N, 0))$. Any approach requires $Q(\Pi(N', 0))$ to be zeroed. At search iteration $t_i$, improvement $\Delta%$ of $Q(\Pi(N', t_i))$ is calculated using

$$\Delta%(Q(\Pi(N', t_i))) = 1.0 - \frac{1.0 - Q(\Pi(N', t_i))}{1.0 - Q(\Pi(N, 0))}$$  \hspace{2cm} (6)$$

### Table 2: List of ENC features used in this work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>ENC Feature</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BERTHS</td>
<td>Place where a vessel is moored at a wharf</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navigable areas</td>
<td>Area of vessel navigate in</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unregulated areas</td>
<td>Areas where vessels are not preferred to be in</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRCARE</td>
<td>Area where vessels must navigate with caution</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMPGNDE</td>
<td>Dumping Ground</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANCHOR</td>
<td>Anchorage area</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The experiment results are presented here. Each set of experiment results compares the performance of VCM using different choices of key search parameters mentioned in Section 5.1. Such an empirical evaluation of VCM is necessary for understanding its underlying characteristics.
The plots of experiment results showing the performance of VCM using safety parameter $\lambda = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ are seen in Fig. 7. It is observed from Fig. 7 that larger $\lambda$ gives better $\Delta\%$ of $Q(\Pi(N, t_s))$. This is expected because by looking further ahead, joint state space is larger and more distant vessels can be considered during path finding. Thus, paths are planned on more broadly updated $G(t_s)$ whose cost of nodes can lead to earlier diversion compared to more narrowly updated $G(t_s)$.

The plots of experiment results generated using different $\alpha$-strategies are seen in Fig. 8. The best performance is observed using worst $\alpha$-strategy. In contrast, it is ineffective to pick agent using best $\alpha$-strategy. This is because paths with worse path quality are expected to have larger $\Delta\%$ of $Q(\Pi(N, t_s))$ than paths with better path quality. In addition, probabilistic $\alpha$-strategy is observed to be improving $\Delta\%$ of $Q(\Pi(N, t_s))$ rather slowly.

The plots of experiment results generated using four $\beta$-strategies are seen in Fig. 9. Comparing to Fig. 8, $\beta$-strategies appears to have lesser effect on $\Delta\%$ of $Q(\Pi(N, t_s))$. The worst and probabilistic strategies are observed having similar performance. The best $\beta$-strategy has just slightly better $\Delta\%$ of $Q(\Pi(N, t_s))$ than these two $\beta$-strategy. Rather surprisingly, the random $\beta$-strategy is observed having the best $\Delta\%$ of $Q(\Pi(N, t_s))$.

The plots of experiment results generated using the path cost $c(\pi, t_s)$ and the path quality $q(\pi, t_s)$ are seen in Fig. 10. Better performance is observed using $c(\pi)$ rather than $q(\pi)$ as the search criteria. This is due to the fact $c(\pi)$ is derived using the physical radius $r_i$ and safety parameter $\lambda$, while $q(\pi)$ is derived using just $r_i$. This means $c(\pi)$ has broader view of planning horizon. However, a trade-off for having a broader view of planning horizon is the increased cost of updating the cost of more nodes during path finding. Last but not least, Fig. 11 compares the paths and positions of the uncoordinated vessels and the vessels coordinated using $c(\pi)$ as the search criteria.
The cost of nodes in $G$ occupies multiple nodes in joint state space over-ground (SOG) and movement characteristics. Unlike homogeneous, the vessels have different ship domains, speed-independence. The vessels move at different speeds, speed-over-ground (SOG) and movement characteristics. Unlike conventional MAPF, a vessel, represented as an agent, occupies multiple nodes in joint state space $G$. In addition, the cost of nodes in $G$ is based on time-dependent and time-independent features. Different agents can move at different SOGs along its path.

To address such a MAPF problem, this paper introduces an innovative application of search algorithm known as the Vessel Coordination Module (VCM). VCM has a search algorithm known as the Coordinated Path Finding (CPF) Algorithm. CPF algorithm generates coordinated path by checking for intersection of neighborhood of nodes on path of agents. It performs a neighborhood search at each solution point to identify the winning agents. During the neighborhood search, agents are selected for generating trial paths. Agents whose trial path improve the aggregated path quality are regarded as the winning candidates. After each neighborhood search, a winning agent is selected from the list of winning candidates. The joint state space is modified each time a trial path is found and when an agent is committed to a new path. New paths are found using joint state space updated using different sets of paths.

Experiments are conducted for evaluating the performance of VCM using different choices of the key search parameters comprising strategies for selecting agents during neighborhood search, strategies for selecting winning agents, safety parameter and search criteria. The experiment results identifies the set of key search parameters that gives coordinated paths with the best possible aggregated path quality. In addition, we have also illustrated the coordinated paths of vessels using a GIS visualization tool.

Our work can be continued in any of the following ways. Though VCM is shown to be effective for coordinating movement of vessels, there is still need to scale it up to handle larger number of vessels. We have also planned to use risk as a search criteria and have the reduction of overall risk as a performance metric. This is still an ongoing work because the maritime domain is far more sophisticated than presented here. Further details such as vessel movement models, more environmental and regulatory constraints can be included to improve fidelity of our work and can be used with higher level of confidence of its efficacy in the real world.
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