Proportionality in Multiwinner Voting: The Power of Local Search

Keynote Talk

Edith Elkind University of Oxford and Alan Turing Institute Oxford/London

elkind@cs.ox.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

In multiwinner voting, voters report their preferences over the available alternatives, and the goal is to select a fixed-size subset of alternatives, usually referred to as a *committee*; this model captures a variety of real-life scenarios, from selecting a representative governing body to deciding which search results should appear on the first page of a search engine's output or selecting validators for a proof-of-stake blockchain protocol.

A particularly well-studied special case of this general setting is multiwinner voting with approval ballots, where each voter reports which alternatives they approve. A key desideratum in multiwinner voting is *proportionality*, i.e., assuring that large groups of voters with similar preferences receive appropriate representation in the selected committee. In the context of approval ballots, a series of papers proposed a family of axioms that aim to capture this intuition, including (from weakest to strongest) justified representation, proportional/extended/full justified representation, and the core. A major research challenge, then, is to identify voting rules that are efficiently computable and whose outputs satisfy these axioms; another important goal is to design efficient verification methods that can decide whether a given committee satisfies an axiom.

In this talk, we will survey recent progress on these challenges, compare the properties of several multiwinner voting rules with strong axiomatic properties, discuss tradeoffs between proportionality and other objectives (such as, e.g., social welfare), and highlight the power of local search to produce high-quality, easily verifiable solutions in a robust and flexible manner.

Keywords

Multiwinner voting; proportionality; local search

ACM Reference format:

Edith Elkind. 2023. Proportionality in Multiwinner Voting: The Power of Local Search. In Proc. of the 22nd International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems (AAMAS 2023), London, United Kingdom, May 29 – June 2, 2023, IFAAMAS, 1 page.

BIOGRAPHY

Edith Elkind is a Professor of Computer Science at University of Oxford and a Theme Lead for the Game Theory and Collective Decision-making at Alan Turing Institute. She obtained her PhD from Princeton in 2005, and has worked as a postdoctoral researcher or a faculty member in the UK, Israel and Singapore before joining Oxford in 2013. Prof Elkind is a EurAI Fellow, an ELLIS Fellow and a recipient of the 2023 ACM SIGAI Autonomous Agents Research Award. Prof Elkind has published over 150 conference and journal papers in algorithmic game theory and computational social choice, and has served/is serving as a program chair for leading AI, algorithmic game theory and computational social choice conferences, including WINE, AAMAS, ACM EC, COMSOC and IJCAI.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This talk is based on a series of papers, some of which were supported by an ERC Starting Grant ACCORD (GA 639945). The author would like to thank her collaborators on these papers: Jesús Arias-Fisteus, Haris Aziz, Pablo Basanta Val, Markus Brill, Vincent Conitzer, Piotr Faliszewski, Norberto Fernández Garcia, Rupert Freeman, Shenwei Huang, Ayumi Igarashi, Sonja Kraiczy, Martin Lackner, Pasin Manurangsi, Luis Sánchez Fernández, Ulrike Schmidt-Kraepelin, Piotr Skowron, Warut Suksompong, and Toby Walsh.

Proc. of the 22nd International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems (AAMAS 2023), A. Ricci, W. Yeoh, N. Agmon, B. An (eds.), May 29 – June 2, 2023, London, United Kingdom. © 2023 International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems (www.ifaamas.org). All rights reserved.