

Fig. 1) observed were because faulty behavior became indistinguishable from normal ones (e.g., flocking agents moving in a straight line). It may be argued that the faults may not be a hindrance, if they do not disrupt the behavior of the swarm. However, this needs to be explored further with a quantitative analysis of swarm behaviors.

In our study, we assume the CRM of all the agents function normally. This is a critical consideration, since abnormalities may not only be restricted to the sensor, motor devices, and control software of the agent, but may also affect the proper execution of the CRM. Consequently, it is worth exploring the impact of perturbations on the CRM itself, as they could drive anomalous behaviors akin to “autoimmunity”. It may be expected that communication of virtual T-cells from “healthy” neighboring agents, and a consensus amongst neighboring agents in the decision to mount an immune response, may dampen the effects of such perturbations. However, this needs further investigation.

6. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

In this study, we proposed an approach inspired by the capability of the adaptive immune system, to detect agents behaving abnormally due to faults, in MAS. We examined the validity of our approach using a collection of typical swarm behaviors, while introducing behaviors simulating common faults encountered by robots due to malfunctions in their sensors, motors and control software. Our approach to fault detection utilizes relatively few agents, and may therefore compliment the existing centralized approaches used in traditional MRS. Moreover, because of the inherent distributed nature of fault detection, our approach may also be applicable to swarm robotic systems, where centralized approaches may not always be feasible.

The results of our study encourage us to explore more realistic scenarios involving MRS exhibiting different behaviors depending on environmental contingencies, wherein the capability of our immune system model to maintain a history of robot behaviors may be used to characterize normality in more complex tasks. Furthermore, a detailed comparative investigation of the results of our immune system model with other fault detection algorithms used in MRS, is also underway.

Supplemental Data: Movies of MAS simulations are available online at www.isr.ist.utl.pt/~dtarapore/AAMAS2013/videos.

Acknowledgment: This study was supported by the FCT grant PTDC/EEACRO/104658/2008.

7. REFERENCES

- [1] A. Abi-Haidar and L. Rocha. Adaptive spam detection inspired by the immune system. In *Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on the Simulation and Synthesis of Living Systems, Artificial Life XI*, pages 1–8. MIT Press, Cambridge, 2008.
- [2] R. Brooks. A robust layered control system for a mobile robot. *IEEE Journal of Robotics and Automation*, 2(1):14–23, 1986.
- [3] B. Bullheimer, R. F. Hartl, and C. Strauss. An improved ant system algorithm for the vehicle routing problem. *Annals of Operations Research*, 89:319–328, 1997.
- [4] J. Butcher. *Numerical methods for ordinary differential equations*, chapter 23. John Wiley & Sons, West Sussex, England, second edition, 2003.
- [5] J. Carneiro, K. Leon, I. Caramalho, C. Van Den Dool, R. Gardner, V. Oliveira, M. Bergman, N. Sepúlveda, T. Paixão, J. Faro, and J. Demengeot. When three is not a crowd: a Crossregulation model of the dynamics and repertoire selection of regulatory CD4⁺ T cells. *Immunological Reviews*, 216(1):48–68, 2007.
- [6] A. L. Christensen, R. O’Grady, M. Birattari, and M. Dorigo. Fault detection in autonomous robots based on fault injection and learning. *Autonomous Robots*, 24(1):49–67, 2008.
- [7] A. L. Christensen, R. O’Grady, and M. Dorigo. From fireflies to fault tolerant swarms of robots. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, 13(4):1–12, 2009.
- [8] V. A. Ciciello and S. F. Smith. Wasp-like agents for distributed factory coordination. *Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems*, 8(3):237–266, 2004.
- [9] V. Crespi, A. Galstyan, and K. Lerman. Top-down vs bottom-up methodologies in multi-agent system design. *Autonomous Robots*, 24(3):303–313, 2008.
- [10] D. Tarapore, A. L. Christensen, P. U. Lima, and J. Carneiro. Environment classification in multiagent systems inspired by the adaptive immune system. In *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on the Simulation and Synthesis of Living Systems, Artificial Life XIII*, pages 275–282. MIT Press, Cambridge, 2012.
- [11] S. Hauert, J. Zufferey, and D. Floreano. Evolved swarming without positioning information: an application in aerial communication relay. *Autonomous Robots*, 26(1):21–32, 2009.
- [12] K. Leon, A. Lage, and J. Carneiro. Tolerance and immunity in a mathematical model of T-cell mediated suppression. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 225:107–126, 2003.
- [13] M. Reháč, M. Pěchouček, and J. Tožička. Adversarial behavior in multi-agent systems. In M. Pěchouček, P. Petta, and L. Varga, editors, *Multi-Agent Systems and Applications IV*, volume 3690 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 470–479. Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2005.
- [14] S. Sakaguchi. Naturally arising CD4⁺ regulatory T cells for immunologic self-tolerance and negative control of immune responses. *Annual Review of Immunology*, 22:531–562, 2004.
- [15] M. H. Terra and R. Tinos. Fault detection and isolation in robotic manipulators via neural networks: A comparison among three architectures for residual analysis. *Journal of Robotic Systems*, 18(7):357–374, 2001.
- [16] P. R. Wurman, R. D’Andrea, and M. Mountz. Coordinating hundreds of cooperative, autonomous vehicles in warehouses. In *Proceedings of the 19th National Conference on Innovative applications of artificial intelligence - Volume 2, IAAI’07*, pages 1752–1759. AAAI Press, 2007.