



















## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Amelio and C. Pizzuti. Analyzing voting behavior in Italian Parliament: Group cohesion and evolution. In *IEEE/ACM International Conference on Advances in Social Networks Analysis and Mining (ASONAM), 2012*, pages 140–146. IEEE, 2012.
- [2] C. Andris, D. Lee, M. J. Hamilton, M. Martino, C. E. Gunning, and J. A. Selden. The rise of partisanship and super-cooperators in the US House of Representatives. *PLoS ONE*, 10(4), 2015.
- [3] W. Chen, L. V. Lakshmanan, and C. Castillo. Information and influence propagation in social networks. *Synthesis Lectures on Data Management*, 5(4):1–177, 2013.
- [4] V. Conitzer. The maximum likelihood approach to voting on social networks. In *Communication, Control, and Computing (Allerton), 2013 51st Annual Allerton Conference on*, pages 1482–1487. IEEE, 2013.
- [5] S. Frischknecht, B. Keller, and R. Wattenhofer. Convergence in (social) influence networks. In *Distributed Computing*, pages 433–446. Springer, 2013.
- [6] E. Goles and J. Olivos. Periodic behaviour of generalized threshold functions. *Discrete Mathematics*, 30(2):187–189, 1980.
- [7] M. Grabisch and A. Rusinowska. A model of influence in a social network. *Theory and Decision*, 69(1):69–96, 2008.
- [8] U. Grandi, E. Lorini, and L. Perrussel. Propositional opinion diffusion. In *Proceedings of the 2015 International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, AAMAS 2015, Istanbul, Turkey, May 4-8, 2015*, pages 989–997, 2015.
- [9] A. Jakulin, W. Buntine, T. M. La Pira, and H. Brasher. Analyzing the US Senate in 2003: Similarities, clusters, and blocs. *Political Analysis*, 17(3):291–310, 2009.
- [10] D. Kempe, J. Kleinberg, and É. Tardos. Maximizing the spread of influence through a social network. *Theory of Computing*, 11(4):105–147, 2015.
- [11] K. T. Macon, P. J. Mucha, and M. A. Porter. Community structure in the united nations general assembly. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 391(1):343–361, 2012.
- [12] R. Motwani and M. Sudan. Computing roots of graphs is hard. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 54(1):81–88, 1994.
- [13] M. E. Newman and M. Girvan. Finding and evaluating community structure in networks. *Physical Review E*, 69(2):026113, 2004.
- [14] M. Penn and M. Tennenholtz. On multi-object auctions and matching theory: Algorithmic aspects. In *Graph Theory, Combinatorics and Algorithms*, pages 173–188. Springer, 2005.
- [15] M. A. Porter, P. J. Mucha, M. E. Newman, and A. J. Friend. Community structure in the United States House of Representatives. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 386(1):414–438, 2007.
- [16] A. D. Procaccia, N. Shah, and E. Sodomka. Ranked voting on social networks. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Fourth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI 2015, Buenos Aires, Argentina, July 25-31, 2015*, pages 2040–2046, 2015.
- [17] K. Schaul. A quick puzzle to tell whether you know what people are thinking, October 2015. [The Washington Post; posted online 09-October-2015].
- [18] N. Schwind, K. Inoue, G. Bourgne, S. Konieczny, and P. Marquis. Belief revision games. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Ninth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, January 25-30, 2015, Austin, Texas, USA.*, pages 1590–1596, 2015.
- [19] A. Tsang, J. A. Doucette, and H. Hosseini. Voting with social influence: Using arguments to uncover ground truth. In *Proceedings of the 2015 International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, AAMAS 2015, Istanbul, Turkey, May 4-8, 2015*, pages 1841–1842, 2015.
- [20] A. S. Waugh, L. Pei, J. H. Fowler, P. J. Mucha, and M. A. Porter. Party polarization in Congress: A network science approach. *arXiv preprint arXiv:0907.3509*, 2009.
- [21] Y. Zhang, A. Friend, A. L. Traud, M. A. Porter, J. H. Fowler, and P. J. Mucha. Community structure in Congressional cosponsorship networks. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 387(7):1705–1712, 2008.