

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Audemard and L. Simon. 2009. Predicting Learnt Clauses Quality in Modern SAT Solvers. In *Proceedings of the 21st International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*. 399–404.
- [2] H. Aziz, A. Bogomolnaia, and H. Moulin. 2017. Fair mixing: the case of dichotomous preferences. Technical Report arXiv:1712.02542 [cs.GT]. arXiv.org.
- [3] H. Aziz, M. Brill, V. Conitzer, E. Elkind, R. Freeman, and T. Walsh. 2017. Justified Representation in Approval-Based Committee Voting. *Social Choice and Welfare* 48, 2 (2017), 461–485.
- [4] H. Aziz, S. Gaspers, J. Gudmundsson, S. Mackenzie, N. Mattei, and T. Walsh. 2015. Computational Aspects of Multi-Winner Approval Voting. In *Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems (AAMAS)*.
- [5] M. Balinski and H. P. Young. 1982. *Fair Representation: Meeting the Ideal of One Man, One Vote*. Yale University Press.
- [6] D. Baumeister, S. Dennisen, and L. Rey. 2015. Winner determination and manipulation in minisum and minimax committee elections. In *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Algorithmic Decision Theory (ADT)*. Springer, 469–485.
- [7] J. Behrens, A. Kistner, A. Nitsche, and B. Swierczek. 2014. *The Principles of LiquidFeedback*.
- [8] A. Belov and J. Marques-Silva. 2012. MUSer2: An efficient MUS extractor. *Journal on Satisfiability, Boolean Modeling and Computation* 8 (2012), 123–128.
- [9] A. Biere. 2013. Lingeling, Plingeling and Treengeling entering the SAT competition 2013. In *Proceedings of the SAT Competition 2013*. 51–52.
- [10] F. Brandl, F. Brandt, and C. Geist. 2016. Proving the Incompatibility of Efficiency and Strategyproofness via SMT Solving. In *Proceedings of the 25th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*. AAAI Press, 116–122.
- [11] F. Brandt. 2017. Rolling the Dice: Recent Results in Probabilistic Social Choice. In *Trends in Computational Social Choice*, U. Endriss (Ed.). AI Access, Chapter 1.
- [12] F. Brandt and C. Geist. 2016. Finding Strategyproof Social Choice Functions via SAT Solving. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* 55 (2016), 565–602.
- [13] F. Brandt, C. Geist, and D. Peters. 2017. Optimal Bounds for the No-Show Paradox via SAT Solving. *Mathematical Social Sciences* 90 (2017), 18–27. Special Issue in Honor of Hervé Moulin.
- [14] M. Brill, R. Freeman, S. Janson, and M. Lackner. 2017. Phragmén’s Voting Methods and Justified Representation. In *Proceedings of the 31st Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*. 406–413.
- [15] M. Brill, J.-F. Laslier, and P. Skowron. 2017. Multiwinner Approval Rules as Apportionment Methods. In *Proceedings of the 31st Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*. 414–420.
- [16] J. R. Chamberlin and P. N. Courant. 1983. Representative Deliberations and Representative Decisions: Proportional Representation and the Borda Rule. *The American Political Science Review* 77, 3 (1983), 718–733.
- [17] C. Duddy. 2014. Electing a representative committee by approval ballot: An impossibility result. *Economics Letters* 124, 1 (2014), 14–16.
- [18] E. Elkind, P. Faliszewski, P. Skowron, and A. Slinko. 2017. Properties of Multi-winner Voting Rules. *Social Choice and Welfare* 48, 3 (2017), 599–632.
- [19] E. Elkind and M. Lackner. 2015. Structure in Dichotomous Preferences. In *Proceedings of the 24th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*. 2019–2025.
- [20] E. Elkind, M. Lackner, and D. Peters. 2017. Structured Preferences. In *Trends in Computational Social Choice*, U. Endriss (Ed.). AI Access, Chapter 10.
- [21] P. Faliszewski, E. Hemaspaandra, L. Hemaspaandra, and J. Rothe. 2011. The shield that never was: Societies with single-peaked preferences are more open to manipulation and control. *Information and Computation* 209, 2 (2011), 89–107.
- [22] P. Faliszewski, P. Skowron, A. Slinko, and N. Talmon. 2016. Committee Scoring Rules: Axiomatic Classification and Hierarchy. In *Proceedings of the 23rd International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*. 250–256.
- [23] P. Faliszewski, P. Skowron, A. Slinko, and N. Talmon. 2017. Multiwinner Voting: A New Challenge for Social Choice Theory. In *Trends in Computational Social Choice*, U. Endriss (Ed.). AI Access, Chapter 2.
- [24] P. Faliszewski, P. Skowron, and N. Talmon. 2017. Bribery as a Measure of Candidate Success: Complexity Results for Approval-Based Multiwinner Rules. In *Proceedings of the 16th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems (AAMAS)*. 6–14.
- [25] P. Gärdenfors. 1979. On definitions of manipulation of social choice functions. In *Aggregation and Revelation of Preferences*, J. J. Laffont (Ed.). North-Holland.
- [26] C. Geist and U. Endriss. 2011. Automated Search for Impossibility Theorems in Social Choice Theory: Ranking Sets of Objects. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* 40 (2011), 143–174.
- [27] C. Geist and D. Peters. 2017. Computer-aided Methods for Social Choice Theory. In *Trends in Computational Social Choice*, U. Endriss (Ed.). AI Access, Chapter 13.
- [28] M. J. H. Heule, O. Kullmann, and V. W. Marek. 2016. Solving and Verifying the Boolean Pythagorean Triples Problem via Cube-and-Conquer. In *Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on Theory and Applications of Satisfiability Testing (Lecture Notes in Computer Science (LNCS))*, Vol. 9710. Springer-Verlag, 228–245.
- [29] A. Hylland. 1992. Proportional Representation without Party Lists. In *Rationality and Institutions : essays in honour of Knut Midgaard on the occasion of his 60th birthday*, Raino Malnes and Arild Underdal (Eds.). Universitetsforlaget, 126–153.
- [30] S. Janson. 2016. *Phragmén’s and Thiele’s election methods*. Technical Report arXiv:1611.08826 [math.HO]. arXiv.org.
- [31] D. M. Kilgour. 2010. Approval Balloting for Multi-Winner Elections. In *Handbook on Approval Voting*, J.-F. Laslier and R. Sanver (Eds.). Springer, 105–124.
- [32] B. Konev and A. Lisitsa. 2014. A SAT attack on the Erdős discrepancy conjecture. In *Theory and Applications of Satisfiability Testing – SAT 2014*. Springer, 219–226.
- [33] M. Lackner and P. Skowron. 2017. *Consistent Approval-Based Multi-Winner Rules*. Technical Report arXiv:1704.02453 [cs.GT]. arXiv.org.
- [34] M. H. Liffiton, A. Previti, A. Malik, and J. Marques-Silva. 2015. Fast, flexible MUS enumeration. *Constraints* (2015), 1–28.
- [35] R. Meir, A. D. Procaccia, J. S. Rosenschein, and Aviv Zohar. 2008. Complexity of Strategic Behavior in Multi-Winner Elections. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* 33 (2008), 149–178.
- [36] H. Moulin. 1988. *Axioms of Cooperative Decision Making*. Cambridge University Press.
- [37] S. Obraztsova, Y. Zick, and E. Elkind. 2013. On manipulation in multi-winner elections based on scoring rules. In *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems (AAMAS)*. 359–366.
- [38] D. Peters. 2017. Condorcet’s Principle and the Preference Reversal Paradox. In *Proceedings of the Sixteenth Conference on Theoretical Aspects of Rationality and Knowledge (TARK)*. 455–469.
- [39] L. Sánchez-Fernández, E. Elkind, M. Lackner, N. Fernández, J. A. Fisteus, P. Basanta Val, and P. Skowron. 2017. Proportional justified representation. In *Proceedings of the 31st Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*. 670–676.
- [40] L. Sánchez-Fernández and J. A. Fisteus. 2017. Monotonicity axioms in approval-based multi-winner voting rules. Technical Report arXiv:1710.04246 [cs.GT]. arXiv.org.
- [41] M. Schulze. 2004. Free riding. *Voting Matters* 18 (2004), 2–5.
- [42] P. Skowron, P. Faliszewski, and A. Slinko. 2015. Achieving fully proportional representation: Approximability results. *Artificial Intelligence* 222 (2015), 67–103.
- [43] P. Skowron, P. Faliszewski, and A. Slinko. 2016. *Axiomatic Characterization of Committee Scoring Rules*. Technical Report arXiv:1604.01529 [cs.GT]. arXiv.org.
- [44] P. Tang and F. Lin. 2009. Computer-aided proofs of Arrow’s and other impossibility theorems. *Artificial Intelligence* 173, 11 (2009), 1041–1053.
- [45] A. D. Taylor. 2005. *Social Choice and the Mathematics of Manipulation*. Cambridge University Press.
- [46] T. N. Thiele. 1895. Om Flerfoldsvaag. *Overagt over det Kongelige Danske Videnskabs Selskabs Forhandlinger* (1895), 415–441.