

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Amgoud and P. Besnard. 2013. Logical limits of abstract argumentation frameworks. *Journal of Applied Non-Classical Logics* 23, 3 (2013), 229–267.
- [2] L. Amgoud and F. Dupin de Saint-Cyr. 2013. An Axiomatic Approach for Persuasion Dialogs. In *25th IEEE International Conference on Tools with Artificial Intelligence, ICTAI*. IEEE Computer Society, Herndon, VA, USA, 618–625.
- [3] L. Amgoud, N. Maudet, and S. Parsons. 2000. Modeling Dialogues Using Argumentation. In *4th International Conference on Multi-Agent Systems, ICMA*. IEEE Computer Society, Boston, MA, USA, 31–38.
- [4] L. Amgoud, S. Parsons, and N. Maudet. 2000. Arguments, Dialogue, and Negotiation. In *ECAI 2000, Proceedings of the 14th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. IOS Press, Berlin, Germany, 338–342.
- [5] O. Bailleux, Y. Boufkhad, and Olivier Roussel. 2009. New Encodings of Pseudo-Boolean Constraints into CNF. In *Theory and Applications of Satisfiability Testing - SAT, 12th International Conference, SAT, Proceedings (Lecture Notes in Computer Science)*, Vol. 5584. Springer, Swansea, UK, 181–194.
- [6] M.J. Beeson. 1985. *Foundations of constructive mathematics: metamathematical studies*. Springer-Verlag.
- [7] P. Besnard and A. Hunter. 2008. *Elements of Argumentation*. MIT Press.
- [8] E. Bonzon and N. Maudet. 2011. On the outcomes of multiparty persuasion. In *10th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems (AAMAS), Volume 1-3*. IFAAMAS, Taipei, Taiwan, 47–54.
- [9] L. A. Chalaguine, E. Hadoux, F. Hamilton, A. Hayward, A. Hunter, S. Polberg, and H. W. W. Potts. 2018. Domain Modelling in Computational Persuasion for Behaviour Change in Healthcare. *CoRR* (2018).
- [10] P. M. Dung. 1995. On the acceptability of arguments and its fundamental role in non-monotonic reasoning, logic programming and n-person games. *Artificial Intelligence* 77 (1995), 321–357.
- [11] N. Eén and N. Sörensson. 2006. Translating Pseudo-Boolean Constraints into SAT. *JSAT* 2, 1-4 (2006), 1–26.
- [12] B. J. Fogg. 1998. Persuasive Computers: Perspectives and Research Directions. In *Proceeding of the CHI '98 Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM, Los Angeles, California, USA, 225–232.
- [13] E. Hadoux and A. Hunter. 2017. Strategic Sequences of Arguments for Persuasion Using Decision Trees. In *Proceedings of the Thirty-First AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. AAAI Press, San Francisco, California, USA, 1128–1134.
- [14] E. Hadoux and A. Hunter. 2018. Learning and Updating User Models for Subpopulations in Persuasive Argumentation Using Beta Distributions. In *Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and MultiAgent Systems, AAMAS*. International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems Richland, SC, USA / ACM, Stockholm, Sweden, 1141–1149.
- [15] A. Hunter. 2014. Opportunities for Argument-Centric Persuasion in Behaviour Change. In *Logics in Artificial Intelligence - 14th European Conference, JELIA, Proceedings*. Springer, Funchal, Madeira, Portugal, 48–61.
- [16] A. Hunter. 2015. Modelling the Persuadee in Asymmetric Argumentation Dialogues for Persuasion. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Fourth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI*. AAAI Press, Buenos Aires, Argentina, 3055–3061.
- [17] A. Hunter. 2016. Computational Persuasion with Applications in Behaviour Change. In *Computational Models of Argument - Proceedings of COMMA*. IOS Press, Potsdam, Germany, 5–18.
- [18] A. Hunter. 2018. Towards a framework for computational persuasion with applications in behaviour change. *Argument & Computation* 9, 1 (2018), 15–40.
- [19] A. Hunter and S. Polberg. 2017. Empirical Methods for Modelling Persuadees in Dialogical Argumentation. In *29th IEEE International Conference on Tools with Artificial Intelligence, ICTAI*. IEEE Computer Society, Boston, MA, USA, 382–389.
- [20] A. Hunter and N. Potyka. 2017. Updating Probabilistic Epistemic States in Persuasion Dialogues. In *Symbolic and Quantitative Approaches to Reasoning with Uncertainty - 14th European Conference, ECSQARU, Proceedings (Lecture Notes in Computer Science)*, Vol. 10369. Springer, Lugano, Switzerland, 46–56.
- [21] A. Hunter and M. Thimm. 2016. Optimization of dialectical outcomes in dialogical argumentation. *International Journal of Approximate Reasoning* 78 (2016), 73–102.
- [22] H. Prakken. 2005. Coherence and Flexibility in Dialogue Games for Argumentation. *Journal of Logic and Computation* 15, 6 (2005), 1009–1040.
- [23] Carsten Sinz. 2005. Towards an Optimal CNF Encoding of Boolean Cardinality Constraints. In *Principles and Practice of Constraint Programming - CP 2005, 11th International Conference, CP, Proceedings*. Springer, Sitges, Spain, 827–831.
- [24] A.S. Troelstra and D. van Dalen. 1988. *Constructivism in Mathematics: an Introduction, Vol. I*. Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics, Vol. 121. North Holland Publishing Company.
- [25] G. S. Tseitin. 1968. On the complexity of derivations in the propositional calculus. *Studies in Mathematics and Mathematical Logic Part II* (1968), 115–125.