

REFERENCES

- [1] Teresa Alsinet, Josep Argelich, Ramón Béjar, Cèsar Fernández, Carles Mateu, and Jordi Planes. 2017. Weighted argumentation for analysis of discussions in Twitter. *International Journal of Approximate Reasoning* 85 (2017), 21–35.
- [2] Leila Amgoud and Jonathan Ben-Naim. 2013. Ranking-based semantics for argumentation frameworks. In *International Conference on Scalable Uncertainty Management (SUM)*. Springer, 134–147.
- [3] Leila Amgoud and Jonathan Ben-Naim. 2016. Axiomatic Foundations of Acceptability Semantics. In *International Conference on Principles of Knowledge Representation and Reasoning (KR)*. 2–11.
- [4] Leila Amgoud and Jonathan Ben-Naim. 2016. Evaluation of arguments from support relations: Axioms and semantics. In *International Joint Conferences on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*, pp–900.
- [5] Leila Amgoud and Jonathan Ben-Naim. 2017. Evaluation of arguments in weighted bipolar graphs. In *European Conference on Symbolic and Quantitative Approaches to Reasoning with Uncertainty (ECSQARU)*. Springer, 25–35.
- [6] Leila Amgoud, Claudette Cayrol, and Marie-Christine Lagasque-Schiex. 2004. On the bipolarity in argumentation frameworks. In *International Workshop on Non-Monotonic Reasoning (NMR)*, Vol. 4. 1–9.
- [7] Leila Amgoud and Dragan Doder. 2018. Gradual Semantics for Weighted Graphs: An Unifying Approach. In *International Conference on Principles of Knowledge Representation and Reasoning (KR)*. 613–614.
- [8] Pietro Baroni, Martin Caminada, and Massimiliano Giacomin. 2011. An introduction to argumentation semantics. *The Knowledge Engineering Review* 26, 4 (2011), 365–410.
- [9] Pietro Baroni, Antonio Rago, and Francesca Toni. 2018. How many properties do we need for gradual argumentation?. In *AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*. AAAI, 1736–1743.
- [10] Pietro Baroni, Marco Romano, Francesca Toni, Marco Aurisicchio, and Giorgio Bertanza. 2013. An argumentation-based approach for automatic evaluation of design debates. In *International Workshop on Computational Logic in Multi-Agent Systems*. Springer, 340–356.
- [11] Pietro Baroni, Marco Romano, Francesca Toni, Marco Aurisicchio, and Giorgio Bertanza. 2015. Automatic evaluation of design alternatives with quantitative argumentation. *Argument & Computation* 6, 1 (2015), 24–49.
- [12] Howard Barringer, Dov M Gabbay, and John Woods. 2012. Temporal, numerical and meta-level dynamics in argumentation networks. *Argument & Computation* 3, 2-3 (2012), 143–202.
- [13] Philippe Besnard and Anthony Hunter. 2001. A logic-based theory of deductive arguments. *Artificial Intelligence* 128, 1-2 (2001), 203–235.
- [14] Stefano Bistarelli, Fabio Rossi, and Francesco Santini. 2016. ConArg: A Tool for Classical and Weighted Argumentation. In *International Conference on Computational Models of Argument (COMMA)*. 463–464.
- [15] Claudette Cayrol and Marie-Christine Lagasque-Schiex. 2005. Graduality in Argumentation. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* 23 (2005), 245–297.
- [16] Claudette Cayrol and Marie-Christine Lagasque-Schiex. 2013. Bipolarity in argumentation graphs: Towards a better understanding. *International Journal of Approximate Reasoning* 54, 7 (2013), 876–899.
- [17] Marco Correia, Jorge Cruz, and Joao Leite. 2014. On the Efficient Implementation of Social Abstract Argumentation. In *European Conference on Artificial Intelligence (ECAI)*. 225–230.
- [18] Dragan Doder and Stefan Woltran. 2014. Probabilistic argumentation frameworks—a logical approach. In *International Conference on Scalable Uncertainty Management (SUM)*. Springer, 134–147.
- [19] Phan Minh Dung. 1995. On the acceptability of arguments and its fundamental role in nonmonotonic reasoning, logic programming and n-person games. *Artificial Intelligence* 77, 2 (1995), 321–357.
- [20] Phan Minh Dung and Phan Minh Thang. 2010. Towards (probabilistic) argumentation for jury-based dispute resolution. *International Conference on Computational Models of Argument (COMMA)* 216 (2010), 171–182.
- [21] Anthony Hunter. 2014. Probabilistic qualification of attack in abstract argumentation. *International Journal of Approximate Reasoning* 55, 2 (2014), 607–638.
- [22] Anthony Hunter, Sylwia Polberg, and Nico Potyka. 2018. Updating Belief in Arguments in Epistemic Graphs. In *International Conference on Principles of Knowledge Representation and Reasoning (KR)*. 138–147.
- [23] Anthony Hunter and Matthias Thimm. 2014. Probabilistic argumentation with incomplete information. In *European Conference on Artificial Intelligence (ECAI)*. IOS Press, 1033–1034.
- [24] Joao Leite and Joao Martins. 2011. Social abstract argumentation. In *International Joint Conferences on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*, Vol. 11. 2287–2292.
- [25] Hengfei Li, Nir Oren, and Timothy J Norman. 2011. Probabilistic argumentation frameworks. In *International Workshop on Theory and Applications of Formal Argumentation*. Springer, 1–16.
- [26] Till Mossakowski and Fabian Neuhaus. 2018. Modular Semantics and Characteristics for Bipolar Weighted Argumentation Graphs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.06685* (2018).
- [27] Nir Oren and Timothy J. Norman. 2008. Semantics for Evidence-Based Argumentation. In *International Conference on Computational Models of Argument (COMMA)*, Vol. 172. IOS Press, 276–284.
- [28] Sylwia Polberg and Dragan Doder. 2014. Probabilistic abstract dialectical frameworks. In *European Workshop on Logics in Artificial Intelligence*. Springer, 591–599.
- [29] Andrei D Polyagin and Valentin F Zaitsev. 2017. *Handbook of ordinary differential equations*. Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- [30] Nico Potyka. 2018. Continuous Dynamical Systems for Weighted Bipolar Argumentation. In *International Conference on Principles of Knowledge Representation and Reasoning (KR)*. 148–157.
- [31] Nico Potyka. 2018. A Tutorial for Weighted Bipolar Argumentation with Continuous Dynamical Systems and the Java Library Attractor. In *International Workshop on Non-Monotonic Reasoning (NMR)*.
- [32] Nico Potyka. 2019. Extending Modular Semantics for Bipolar Weighted Argumentation (Technical Report). (2019). <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.15107.14881>
- [33] Henry Prakken. 2018. Probabilistic Strength of Arguments with Structure. In *International Conference on Principles of Knowledge Representation and Reasoning (KR)*. 158–167.
- [34] Antonio Rago, Francesca Toni, Marco Aurisicchio, and Pietro Baroni. 2016. Discontinuity-Free Decision Support with Quantitative Argumentation Debates. In *International Conference on Principles of Knowledge Representation and Reasoning (KR)*. 63–73.
- [35] Tjitze Rienstra. 2012. Towards a probabilistic dung-style argumentation system. In *International Conference on Agreement Technologies (AT)*. 138–152.
- [36] Tjitze Rienstra, Matthias Thimm, Beishui Liao, and Leendert van der Torre. 2018. Probabilistic Abstract Argumentation based on SCC Decomposability. In *International Conference on Principles of Knowledge Representation and Reasoning (KR)*. 168–177.
- [37] Walter Rudin. 1976. *Principles of mathematical analysis*. Vol. 3. McGraw-hill New York.
- [38] Marcus Thiel, Philipp Ludwig, Till Mossakowski, Fabian Neuhaus, and Andreas Nürnberger. 2017. Web-retrieval supported argument space exploration. In *ACM SIGIR Conference on Human Information Interaction and Retrieval (CHIIR)*. ACM, 309–312.