



















- [5] Arpita Biswas and Siddharth Barman. 2018. Fair Division under Cardinality Constraints. In *Proceedings of the 27th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (Stockholm, Sweden) (IJCAI'18)*. AAAI Press, 914–927.
- [6] A. Bogomolnaia and H. Moulin. 2004. Random Matching under Dichotomous preferences. *Econometrica* 72 (2004), 257–279.
- [7] S. Bouveret and M. Lemaître. 2016. Characterizing conflicts in fair division of indivisible goods using a scale of criteria. *Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems* 30, 2 (2016), 259–290.
- [8] F. Brandt, V. Conitzer, U. Endress, J. Lang, and A. D. Procaccia (Eds.). 2016. *Handbook of Computational Social Choice*. Cambridge University Press.
- [9] E. Budish. 2011. The combinatorial assignment problem: Approximate competitive equilibrium from equal incomes. *Journal of Political Economy* (2011).
- [10] Eric Budish, Gérard P Cachon, Judd B Kessler, and Abraham Othman. 2017. Course match: A large-scale implementation of approximate competitive equilibrium from equal incomes for combinatorial allocation. *Operations Research* 65, 2 (2017).
- [11] Ioannis Caragiannis, Nick Gravin, and Xin Huang. 2019. Envy-freeness up to any item with high nash welfare: The virtue of donating items. In *Proceedings of the 2019 ACM Conference on Economics and Computation*. 527–545.
- [12] I. Caragiannis, D. Kurokawa, H. Moulin, A. D. Procaccia, N. Shah, and J. Wang. 2019. The Unreasonable Fairness of Maximum Nash Welfare. *ACM Transactions on Economics and Computation (TEAC)* 7, 3 (2019), 1–32.
- [13] Bhaskar Ray Chaudhury, Telikepalli Kavitha, Kurt Mehlhorn, and Alkmini Sgouritsa. 2020. A little charity guarantees almost envy-freeness. In *Proceedings of the Fourteenth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*. SIAM.
- [14] Yongheng Deng, Tien Foo Sing, and Chaoqun Ren. 2013. The story of Singapore's public housing: From a nation of home-seekers to a nation of homeowners. In *The future of public housing*. Springer, 103–121.
- [15] Amitay Dror, Michal Feldman, and Erel Segal-Halevi. 2020. On Fair Division under Heterogeneous Matroid Constraints. arXiv:2010.07280 [cs.GT]
- [16] Ulle Endriss. 2017. *Trends in computational social choice*. Lulu. com.
- [17] Jugal Garg and Setareh Taki. 2020. An improved approximation algorithm for maximin shares. In *Proceedings of the 21st ACM Conference on Economics and Computation*. 379–380.
- [18] Mohammad Ghodsi, MohammadTaghi HajiAghayi, Masoud Seddighin, Saeed Seddighin, and Hadi Yami. 2018. Fair allocation of indivisible goods: Improvements and generalizations. In *Proceedings of the 2018 ACM Conference on Economics and Computation*. 539–556.
- [19] L. Gourvès and J. Monnot. 2017. Approximate Maximin Share Allocations in Matroids. In *CIAC*.
- [20] Laurent Gourvès, Jérôme Monnot, and Lydia Tlilane. 2014. Near Fairness in Matroids (*ECAI'14*). IOS Press.
- [21] Daniel Halpern, Ariel D Procaccia, Alexandros Psomas, and Nisarg Shah. 2020. Fair Division with Binary Valuations: One Rule to Rule Them All. In *International Conference on Web and Internet Economics*. Springer.
- [22] David Kurokawa, Ariel D Procaccia, and Nisarg Shah. 2015. Leximin allocations in the real world. In *Proceedings of the Sixteenth ACM Conference on Economics and Computation*. 345–362.
- [23] David Kurokawa, Ariel D Procaccia, and Junxing Wang. 2016. When can the maximin share guarantee be guaranteed?. In *Proceedings of the Thirtieth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. 523–529.
- [24] Richard J Lipton, Evangelos Markakis, Elchanan Mossel, and Amin Saberi. 2004. On approximately fair allocations of indivisible goods. In *Proceedings of the 5th ACM conference on Electronic commerce*. 125–131.
- [25] Ariel D Procaccia and Junxing Wang. 2014. Fair enough: Guaranteeing approximate maximin shares. In *Proceedings of the fifteenth ACM conference on Economics and computation*. 675–692.
- [26] Alexander Schrijver. 2003. *Combinatorial optimization: polyhedra and efficiency*. Vol. 24. Springer Science & Business Media.