

REFERENCES

- [1] Haris Aziz, Serge Gaspers, Simon Mackenzie, Nicholas Mattei, Paul Stursberg, and Toby Walsh. 2018. Fixing balanced knockout and double elimination tournaments. *Artificial Intelligence* 262 (2018), 1–14.
- [2] Dorothea Baumeister, Magnus Roos, and Jörg Rothe. 2011. Computational complexity of two variants of the possible winner problem. In *Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems*. 853–860.
- [3] Dorothea Baumeister and Jörg Rothe. 2012. Taking the final step to a full dichotomy of the possible winner problem in pure scoring rules. *Inform. Process. Lett.* 112, 5 (2012), 186–190.
- [4] Nadja Betzler and Britta Dorn. 2010. Towards a dichotomy for the possible winner problem in elections based on scoring rules. *J. Comput. System Sci.* 76, 8 (2010), 812–836.
- [5] Nadja Betzler, Susanne Hemmann, and Rolf Niedermeier. 2009. A multivariate complexity analysis of determining possible winners given incomplete votes. In *Proceedings of the 21st International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. 53–58.
- [6] Daniel Binkele-Raible, Gábor Erdélyi, Henning Fernau, Judy Goldsmith, Nicholas Mattei, and Jörg Rothe. 2014. The complexity of probabilistic lobbying. *Discrete Optimization* 11 (2014), 1–21.
- [7] Felix Brandt, Vincent Conitzer, Ulle Endriss, Jérôme Lang, and Ariel D Procaccia. 2016. *Handbook of computational social choice*. Cambridge University Press.
- [8] Robert Bredebeck and Nimrod Talmon. 2016. NP-hardness of two edge cover generalizations with applications to control and bribery for approval voting. *Inform. Process. Lett.* 116, 2 (2016), 147–152.
- [9] Eric Brelsford, Piotr Faliszewski, Edith Hemaspaandra, Henning Schnoor, and Ilka Schnoor. 2008. Approximability of manipulating elections. In *Proceedings of the 23rd National Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 8. 44–49.
- [10] Vitalik Buterin. 2017. Ethereum sharding faq.
- [11] Ioannis Caragiannis, Xenophon Chatzigeorgiou, George A Krimpas, and Alexandros A Voudouris. 2019. Optimizing positional scoring rules for rank aggregation. *Artificial Intelligence* 267 (2019), 58–77.
- [12] Jiehua Chen, Piotr Faliszewski, Rolf Niedermeier, and Nimrod Talmon. 2017. Elections with few voters: candidate control can be easy. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* 60 (2017), 937–1002.
- [13] Lin Chen, Lei Xu, Zhimin Gao, Nolan Shah, Yang Lu, and Weidong Shi. 2017. Smart contract execution—the (+)-biased ballot problem. In *Proceedings of the 28th International Symposium on Algorithms and Computation*. 21:1–21:12.
- [14] Lin Chen, Lei Xu, Shouhuai Xu, Zhimin Gao, and Weidong Shi. 2019. Election with bribe-effect uncertainty: a dichotomy result. In *Proceedings of the 28th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. 158–164.
- [15] Lin Chen, Lei Xu, Shouhuai Xu, Zhimin Gao, and Weidong Shi. 2019. Election with bribed voter uncertainty: hardness and approximation algorithm. In *Proceedings of the 33rd AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 33. 2572–2579.
- [16] Yann Chevaleyre, Jérôme Lang, Nicolas Maudet, and Jérôme Monnot. 2010. Possible winners when new candidates are added: the case of scoring rules. In *Proceedings of the 24th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. 762–767.
- [17] Vincent Conitzer, Toby Walsh, and Lirong Xia. 2011. Dominating manipulations in voting with partial information. In *Proceedings of the 25th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. 638–643.
- [18] Jana Cslovjeczsek, Friedrich Eisenbrand, Christoph Hunkenschröder, Lars Rohwedder, and Robert Weismantel. 2021. Block-structured integer and linear programming in strongly polynomial and near linear time. In *Proceedings of the 32nd ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*. 1666–1681.
- [19] Palash Dey, Neeldhara Misra, and Yadati Narahari. 2018. Complexity of manipulation with partial information in voting. *Theoretical Computer Science* 726 (2018), 78–99.
- [20] Friedrich Eisenbrand, Christoph Hunkenschröder, and Kim-Manuel Klein. 2018. Faster algorithms for integer programs with block structure. In *Proceedings of the 45th International Colloquium on Automata, Languages, and Programming*. 49:1–49:13.
- [21] Friedrich Eisenbrand, Christoph Hunkenschröder, Kim-Manuel Klein, Martin Koutecký, Asaf Levin, and Shmuel Onn. 2019. An algorithmic theory of integer programming. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.01361* (2019).
- [22] Edith Elkind, Piotr Faliszewski, and Arkadii Slinko. 2009. Swap bribery. In *Proceedings of the 2nd International Symposium on Algorithmic Game Theory*. Springer, 299–310.
- [23] Ulle Endriss, Maria Silvia Pini, Francesca Rossi, and K Brent Venable. 2009. Preference aggregation over restricted ballot languages: sincerity and strategy-proofness. In *Proceedings of the 21st International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. 122–127.
- [24] Gabor Erdelyi, Edith Hemaspaandra, and Lane A Hemaspaandra. 2014. Bribery and voter control under voting-rule uncertainty. In *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems*. 61–68.
- [25] Piotr Faliszewski, Edith Hemaspaandra, and Lane A Hemaspaandra. 2009. How hard is bribery in elections? *Journal of artificial intelligence research* 35 (2009), 485–532.
- [26] Serge Gaspers, Victor Naroditskiy, Nina Naroditska, and Toby Walsh. 2013. Possible and necessary winner problem in social polls. In *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems*. 613–620.
- [27] Yossi Gilad, Rotem Hemo, Silvio Micali, Georgios Vlachos, and Nickolai Zeldovich. 2017. Algorand: scaling byzantine agreements for cryptocurrencies. In *Proceedings of the 26th Symposium on Operating Systems Principles*. 51–68.
- [28] Noam Hazon, Yonatan Aumann, Sarit Kraus, and Michael Wooldridge. 2012. On the evaluation of election outcomes under uncertainty. *Artificial Intelligence* 189 (2012), 1–18.
- [29] Raymond Hemmecke, Shmuel Onn, and Lyubov Romanchuk. 2013. N-fold integer programming in cubic time. *Mathematical Programming* 137, 1 (2013), 325–341.
- [30] Klaus Jansen, Alexandra Lassota, and Lars Rohwedder. 2020. Near-linear time algorithm for n-fold ILPs via color coding. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics* 34, 4 (2020), 2282–2299.
- [31] Batya Kenig and Benny Kimelfeld. 2019. Approximate inference of outcomes in probabilistic elections. In *Proceedings of the 33rd AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 33. 2061–2068.
- [32] Dušan Knop, Martin Koutecký, and Matthias Mnich. 2020. Combinatorial n-fold integer programming and applications. *Mathematical Programming* 184, 1 (2020), 1–34.
- [33] Kathrin Konczak and Jérôme Lang. 2005. Voting procedures with incomplete preferences. In *Proceedings of IJCAI-05 Multidisciplinary Workshop on Advances in Preference Handling*. 130–135.
- [34] Jérôme Lang. 2020. Collective decision making under incomplete knowledge: possible and necessary solutions. In *Proceedings of the 29th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence and the 17th Pacific Rim International Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. 4885–4891.
- [35] Andrew Lin. 2011. The complexity of manipulating κ -approval elections. In *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Agents and Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 2. 212–218.
- [36] Nicholas Mattei, Judy Goldsmith, Andrew Klapper, and Martin Mundhenk. 2015. On the complexity of bribery and manipulation in tournaments with uncertain information. *Journal of Applied Logic* 13, 4 (2015), 557–581.
- [37] Fabian Schuh and Daniel Larimer. 2017. Bitshares 2.0: general overview. accessed June-2017.[Online]. Available: <http://docs.bitshares.org/downloads/bitshares-general.pdf> (2017).
- [38] Zoi Terzopoulou and Ulle Endriss. 2019. Aggregating incomplete pairwise preferences by weight. In *Proceedings of the 28th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. 595–601.
- [39] Toby Walsh and Lirong Xia. 2012. Lot-based voting rules. In *Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems*. 603–610.
- [40] Krzysztof Wojtas and Piotr Faliszewski. 2012. Possible winners in noisy elections. In *Proceedings of 26th the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. 1499–1505.
- [41] Lirong Xia and Vincent Conitzer. 2011. Determining possible and necessary winners given partial orders. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* 41 (2011), 25–67.
- [42] Lirong Xia, Jérôme Lang, and Jérôme Monnot. 2011. Possible winners when new alternatives join: New results coming up!. In *Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems*. 829–836.