

REFERENCES

- [1] Dorothea Baumeister, Piotr Faliszewski, Jérôme Lang, and Jörg Rothe. 2012. Campaigns for lazy voters: truncated ballots. In *Proc. 11th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, AAMAS 2012, Valencia, Spain, June 4-8, 2012 (3 Volumes)*. 577–584.
- [2] Dorothea Baumeister, Magnus Roos, and Jörg Rothe. 2011. Computational complexity of two variants of the possible winner problem. In *The 10th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems-Volume 2*. 853–860.
- [3] Daniel Binkele-Raible, Gábor Erdélyi, Henning Fernau, Judy Goldsmith, Nicholas Mattei, and Jörg Rothe. 2014. The complexity of probabilistic lobbying. In *Algorithmic Decision Theory*, Vol. 11. Discrete Optimization, 1–21.
- [4] Robert Brederick, Jiehua Chen, Piotr Faliszewski, André Nichterlein, and Rolf Niedermeier. 2014. Prices Matter for the Parameterized Complexity of Shift Bribery. In *Proc. 28th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*. 1398–1404.
- [5] Robert Brederick, Piotr Faliszewski, Rolf Niedermeier, and Nimrod Talmon. 2016. Complexity of Shift Bribery in Committee Elections. In *Proc. 30th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*. 2452–2458.
- [6] Eric Brelsford, Piotr Faliszewski, Edith Hemaspaandra, Henning Schnoor, and Ilka Schnoor. 2008. Approximability of Manipulating Elections. In *AAAI*, Vol. 8. 44–49.
- [7] Lin Chen, Lei Xu, Shouhuai Xu, Zhimin Gao, Nolan Shah, Yang Lu, and Weidong Shi. 2018. Protecting Election from Bribery: New Approach and Computational Complexity Characterization. In *Proc. 17th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and MultiAgent Systems (AAMAS)*. 1894–1896.
- [8] Vincent Conitzer and Toby Walsh. 2016. Barriers to Manipulation in Voting. In *Handbook of Computational Social Choice*. 127–145. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107446984.007>
- [9] Marek Cygan, Fedor V Fomin, Lukasz Kowalik, Daniel Lokshtanov, Dániel Marx, Marcin Pilipczuk, Michał Pilipczuk, and Saket Saurabh. 2015. *Parameterized algorithms*. Vol. 5. Springer.
- [10] Palash Dey. 2021. Local distance constrained bribery in voting. *Theor. Comput. Sci.* 849 (2021), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2020.10.005>
- [11] Palash Dey, Neeldhara Misra, and Y. Narahari. 2017. Frugal bribery in voting. *Theor. Comput. Sci.* 676 (2017), 15–32.
- [12] Britta Dorn and Dominikus Krüger. 2016. On the hardness of bribery variants in voting with CP-nets. *Ann. Math. Artif. Intell.* 77, 3-4 (2016), 251–279. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10472-015-9469-3>
- [13] Britta Dorn and Ildikó Schlotter. 2012. Multivariate complexity analysis of swap bribery. *Algorithmica* 64, 1 (2012), 126–151.
- [14] Jack Edmonds and Richard M Karp. 1972. Theoretical improvements in algorithmic efficiency for network flow problems. *Journal of the ACM (JACM)* 19, 2 (1972), 248–264.
- [15] Edith Elkind and Piotr Faliszewski. 2010. Approximation algorithms for campaign management. In *International Workshop on Internet and Network Economics*. Springer, 473–482.
- [16] Edith Elkind, Piotr Faliszewski, and Arkadii Slinko. 2009. Swap bribery. In *Proc. 2nd International Symposium on Algorithmic Game Theory (SAGT 2009)*. Springer, 299–310.
- [17] Edith Elkind, Piotr Faliszewski, and Arkadii Slinko. 2009. Swap Bribery. In *Algorithmic Game Theory*, Marios Mavronicolas and Vicky G. Papadopoulou (Eds.). Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 299–310.
- [18] Gabor Erdelyi, Edith Hemaspaandra, and Lane A Hemaspaandra. 2014. Bribery and voter control under voting-rule uncertainty. In *Proceedings of the 2014 international conference on Autonomous agents and multi-agent systems*. 61–68.
- [19] Piotr Faliszewski. 2008. Nonuniform Bribery. In *Proceedings of the 7th International Joint Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems - Volume 3 (Estoril, Portugal) (AAMAS '08)*. International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, 1569–1572.
- [20] Piotr Faliszewski, Rica Gonen, Martin Koutecký, and Nimrod Talmon. 2018. Opinion Diffusion and Campaigning on Society Graphs. In *Proc. 27th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI*. 219–225.
- [21] Piotr Faliszewski, Edith Hemaspaandra, and Lane A Hemaspaandra. 2006. The complexity of bribery in elections. In *AAAI*, Vol. 6. 641–646.
- [22] Piotr Faliszewski, Edith Hemaspaandra, and Lane A Hemaspaandra. 2009. How hard is bribery in elections? *Journal of artificial intelligence research* 35 (2009), 485–532.
- [23] Piotr Faliszewski, Edith Hemaspaandra, Lane A Hemaspaandra, and Jörg Rothe. 2009. Llull and Copeland voting computationally resist bribery and constructive control. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research* 35 (2009), 275–341.
- [24] Piotr Faliszewski, Edith Hemaspaandra, and Henning Schnoor. 2008. Copeland voting: Ties matter. In *Proceedings of the 7th international joint conference on Autonomous agents and multiagent systems-Volume 2*. Citeseer, 983–990.
- [25] Piotr Faliszewski, Yannick Reisch, Jörg Rothe, and Lena Schend. 2014. Complexity of manipulation, bribery, and campaign management in Bucklin and fallback voting. In *Proc. 13th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems (AAMAS)*. 1357–1358.
- [26] Piotr Faliszewski, Yannick Reisch, Jörg Rothe, and Lena Schend. 2015. Complexity of manipulation, bribery, and campaign management in Bucklin and fallback voting. *Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems* 29, 6 (2015), 1091–1124.
- [27] Piotr Faliszewski and Jörg Rothe. 2016. Control and Bribery in Voting. In *Handbook of Computational Social Choice*, Felix Brandt, Vincent Conitzer, Ulle Endriss, Jérôme Lang, and Ariel D. Procaccia (Eds.). Cambridge University Press, 146–168. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107446984.008>
- [28] Delbert R Fulkerson. 1961. An out-of-kilter method for minimal-cost flow problems. *J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math.* 9, 1 (1961), 18–27.
- [29] Michael R. Garey and David S. Johnson. 1990. *Computers and Intractability; A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness*. W. H. Freeman & Co., USA.
- [30] Noam Hazon and Edith Elkind. 2010. Complexity of safe strategic voting. In *International Symposium on Algorithmic Game Theory*. Springer, 210–221.
- [31] Egor Ianovski, Lan Yu, Edith Elkind, and Mark C Wilson. 2011. The complexity of safe manipulation under scoring rules. In *Twenty-Second International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*.
- [32] Benjamin N Jackson, Patrick S Schnable, and Srinivas Aluru. 2008. Consensus genetic maps as median orders from inconsistent sources. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on computational biology and bioinformatics* 5, 2 (2008), 161–171.
- [33] Andrzej Kaczmarczyk and Piotr Faliszewski. 2016. Algorithms for destructive shift bribery. In *Proc. 15th International Conference on Autonomous Agents & Multiagent Systems (AAMAS)*. 305–313.
- [34] Neel Karia, Faraaz Mallick, and Palash Dey. 2022. How Hard is Safe Bribery? *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.10383* (2022).
- [35] Orgad Keller, Avinatan Hassidim, and Noam Hazon. 2018. Approximating Bribery in Scoring Rules. In *Proc. 32nd International Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*. 1121–1129.
- [36] Dušan Knop, Martin Koutecký, and Matthias Mních. 2018. A Unifying Framework for Manipulation Problems. In *Proc. 17th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and MultiAgent Systems (AAMAS)*. 256–264.
- [37] Luke Mathieson and Stefan Szeider. 2012. Editing graphs to satisfy degree constraints: A parameterized approach. *J. Comput. System Sci.* 78, 1 (2012), 179–191. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcss.2011.02.001> JCSS Knowledge Representation and Reasoning.
- [38] Nicholas Mattei, Maria Silvia Pini, Francesca Rossi, and Kristen Brent Venable. 2012. Bribery in Voting Over Combinatorial Domains Is Easy. In *ISAIM*.
- [39] Cynthia Maushagen, Marc Neveling, Jörg Rothe, and Ann-Kathrin Selker. 2018. Complexity of Shift Bribery in Iterative Elections. In *Proc. 17th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and MultiAgent Systems (AAMAS)*. 1567–1575.
- [40] Svetlana Obraztsova and Edith Elkind. 2012. Optimal Manipulation of Voting Rules. In *Proc. 26th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI)*. 2141–2147.
- [41] Svetlana Obraztsova and Edith Elkind. 2012. Optimal manipulation of voting rules. In *Proc. 11th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems (AAMAS)*. 619–626.
- [42] David M. Pennock, Eric Horvitz, and C. Lee Giles. 2000. Social Choice Theory and Recommender Systems: Analysis of the Axiomatic Foundations of Collaborative Filtering. In *Proc. 17th National Conference on Artificial Intelligence and 12th Conference on Innovative Applications of Artificial Intelligence, July 30 - August 3, 2000, Austin, Texas, USA*. 729–734. <http://www.aaai.org/Library/AAAI/2000/aaai00-112.php>
- [43] Maria Silvia Pini, Francesca Rossi, and Kristen Brent Venable. 2013. Bribery in voting with soft constraints. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 27.
- [44] Ildikó Schlotter, Piotr Faliszewski, and Edith Elkind. 2011. Campaign management under approval-driven voting rules. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 25.
- [45] Ildikó Schlotter, Piotr Faliszewski, and Edith Elkind. 2017. Campaign management under approval-driven voting rules. *Algorithmica* 77, 1 (2017), 84–115.
- [46] Arkadii Slinko and Shaun White. 2008. Nondictatorial social choice rules are safely manipulable. In *Proceedings of the Second International Workshop on Computational Social Choice (COMSOC-2008)*. 403–414.
- [47] Arkadii Slinko and Shaun White. 2014. Is it ever safe to vote strategically? *Social Choice and Welfare* 43, 2 (2014), 403–427.
- [48] Lirong Xia. 2012. Computing the margin of victory for various voting rules. In *Proceedings of the 13th ACM Conference on Electronic Commerce*. 982–999.