



















## REFERENCES

- [1] Stefano V Albrecht, Jacob W Crandall, and Subramanian Ramamoorthy. 2016. Belief and truth in hypothesised behaviours. *Artificial Intelligence* 235 (2016), 63–94.
- [2] Stefano V Albrecht and Peter Stone. 2018. Autonomous agents modelling other agents: A comprehensive survey and open problems. *Artificial Intelligence* 258 (2018), 66–95.
- [3] Brenna D Argall, Sonia Chernova, Manuela Veloso, and Brett Browning. 2009. A survey of robot learning from demonstration. *Robotics and autonomous systems* 57, 5 (2009), 469–483.
- [4] Gianluca Borghini, Laura Astolfi, Giovanni Vecchiato, Donatella Mattia, and Fabio Babiloni. 2014. Measuring neurophysiological signals in aircraft pilots and car drivers for the assessment of mental workload, fatigue and drowsiness. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews* 44 (2014), 58–75.
- [5] Evan A Byrne and Raja Parasuraman. 1996. Psychophysiology and adaptive automation. *Biological psychology* 42, 3 (1996), 249–268.
- [6] JD Choi and Kee-Eung Kim. 2011. Inverse reinforcement learning in partially observable environments. *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 12 (2011), 691–730.
- [7] Zoubin Ghahramani and Michael I Jordan. 1997. Factorial hidden Markov models. *Machine learning* 29, 2 (1997), 245–273.
- [8] Laura M Hiatt, Cody Narber, Esube Bekele, Sangeet S Khemlani, and J Gregory Trafton. 2017. Human modeling for human–robot collaboration. *The International Journal of Robotics Research* 36, 5-7 (2017), 580–596.
- [9] Jonathan Ho and Stefano Ermon. 2016. Generative adversarial imitation learning. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 29 (2016), 4565–4573.
- [10] Matthew D Hoffman, David M Blei, Chong Wang, and John Paisley. 2013. Stochastic variational inference. *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 14, 5 (2013).
- [11] Boris Ivanovic, Karen Leung, Edward Schmerling, and Marco Pavone. 2020. Multimodal deep generative models for trajectory prediction: A conditional variational autoencoder approach. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters* 6, 2 (2020), 295–302.
- [12] Wonseok Jeon, Seokin Seo, and Kee-Eung Kim. 2018. A Bayesian Approach to Generative Adversarial Imitation Learning. In *NeurIPS*. 7440–7450.
- [13] Ece Kamar, Ya'akov Gal, and Barbara J Grosz. 2009. Incorporating helpful behavior into collaborative planning. In *Proceedings of The 8th International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems (AAMAS)*. Springer Verlag.
- [14] Arthur F Kramer, Leonard J Trejo, and Darryl G Humphrey. 2018. Psychophysiological measures of workload: Potential applications to adaptively automated systems. In *Automation and human performance: Theory and applications*. CRC Press, 137–162.
- [15] Przemyslaw A Lasota, Terrence Fong, Julie A Shah, et al. 2017. *A survey of methods for safe human-robot interaction*. Now Publishers.
- [16] Yunzhu Li, Jiaming Song, and Stefano Ermon. 2017. Infogail: Interpretable imitation learning from visual demonstrations. In *Proceedings of the 31st International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*. 3815–3825.
- [17] Holger Lüdtkke, Barbara Wilhelm, Martin Adler, Frank Schaeffel, and Helmut Wilhelm. 1998. Mathematical procedures in data recording and processing of pupillary fatigue waves. *Vision research* 38, 19 (1998), 2889–2896.
- [18] Anahita Mohseni-Kabir, Charles Rich, Sonia Chernova, Candace L Sidner, and Daniel Miller. 2015. Interactive hierarchical task learning from a single demonstration. In *Proceedings of the Tenth Annual ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction*. 205–212.
- [19] Catherine Neubauer, Kristin E Schaefer, Ashley H Oiknine, Steven Thurman, Benjamin Files, Stephen Gordon, J Cortney Bradford, Derek Spangler, and Gregory Gremillion. 2020. *Multimodal Physiological and Behavioral Measures to Estimate Human States and Decisions for Improved Human Autonomy Teaming*. Technical Report. CCDC Army Research Laboratory Aberdeen Proving Ground United States.
- [20] Takayuki Osa, Joni Pajarinen, Gerhard Neumann, J Andrew Bagnell, Pieter Abbeel, Jan Peters, et al. 2018. An Algorithmic Perspective on Imitation Learning. *Foundations and Trends® in Robotics* 7, 1-2 (2018), 1–179.
- [21] Raja Parasuraman, Toufik Bahri, John E Deaton, Jeffrey G Morrison, and Michael Barnes. 1992. *Theory and design of adaptive automation in aviation systems*. Technical Report. Catholic Univ of America Washington DC cognitive science lab.
- [22] Raja Parasuraman and Victor Riley. 1997. Humans and automation: Use, misuse, disuse, abuse. *Human factors* 39, 2 (1997), 230–253.
- [23] Zhiqian Qiao, Jing Zhao, Jin Zhu, Zachariah Tyree, Priyantha Mudalige, Jeff Schneider, and John M Dolan. 2020. Human driver behavior prediction based on urbanflow. In *2020 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*. IEEE, 10570–10576.
- [24] Mark W Scerbo. 2018. *Theoretical perspectives on adaptive automation. In Automation and human performance: Theory and applications*. CRC Press, 37–63.
- [25] Herbert A Simon. 1990. Bounded rationality. In *Utility and probability*. Springer, 15–18.
- [26] Andrea Thomaz, Guy Hoffman, and Maya Cakmak. 2016. Computational human-robot interaction. *Foundations and Trends in Robotics* 4, 2-3 (2016), 105–223.
- [27] Vaibhav V Unhelkar, Shen Li, and Julie A Shah. 2020. Semi-supervised learning of decision-making models for human-robot collaboration. In *Conference on Robot Learning*. PMLR, 192–203.
- [28] Vaibhav V Unhelkar and Julie A Shah. 2019. Learning models of sequential decision-making with partial specification of agent behavior. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 33. 2522–2530.
- [29] Walter W Wierwille, SS Wreggit, CL Kim, LA Ellsworth, and RJ Fairbanks. 1994. *Research on vehicle-based driver status/performance monitoring; development, validation, and refinement of algorithms for detection of driver drowsiness. final report*. Technical Report.
- [30] Ryan W Wohleber, Gerald Matthews, Gregory J Funke, and Jinchao Lin. 2016. Considerations in physiological metric selection for online detection of operator state: A case study. In *International conference on augmented cognition*. Springer, 428–439.