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ABSTRACT
Training an optimal policy in deep reinforcement learning (DRL) remains a significant challenge due to the pitfalls of inefficient sampling in dynamic environments with sparse rewards. In this paper, we propose a Human Local Guide (HLG) incorporating high-level human knowledge and local policies to guide DRL agents to achieve optimal performance. HLG deployed the heuristic rules from human knowledge in differential decision trees and then injected them into neural networks, which can continuously improve the suboptimal global policy till the optimal level. Our developed HLG includes action guides based on a policy-switching mechanism and adaptive action guides inspired by an approximate policy evaluation scheme through a perturbation model to optimise policy further. Our proposed HLG outperforms PPO and PROLONET with at least 25% improvement in training efficiency and exploration capability based on MinGrid environments with sparse reward signals. This implies that HLG has a significant potential to continuously assist the DRL agent in achieving optimal policy in dynamic and complex environments.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Deep reinforcement learning (DRL) faces sampling efficiency problems [4] with sparse rewards in dynamic environments [7] because it requires a massive amount of interactions with environments under partial observability. Due to this inefficient data sampling issue, it is not easy to train a DRL agent to achieve optimal performance even after millions of steps [8–10].

Several prevailing methods have sought to alleviate this issue by leveraging pre-trained models [19] or harnessing guidance from human expertise [11, 17, 18]. However, these methods assume access to a guide that would be efficient in all the state space of the dynamic environment, defined as a global guide. Considering the increasing complexity of dynamic environments, such global information becomes too challenging to grasp [7]. Policy transferred from the pre-trained model or human demonstrations may fail in dynamic environments and lead to sub-optimal agent performance. This limitation is further exacerbated when agents intrinsically struggle to exploit state space with partial observability [15]. Nonetheless, salient stimuli can often still be extracted [16] by humans, regardless of the complexity of the environment. For instance, humans may build heuristic [2] such as ‘defensive’ policies to avoid the agent trap into unsafe states, or ‘attractive’ policies guide the agent towards the critical states that dominate access to the final reward.

In this work, we propose a novel human-in-the-loop DRL method, Human Local Guide (HLG) that does not require frequent interactions between the DRL agent with dynamic environments, and mitigate the issue from sample inefficiency. The HLG is designed to translate high-level human knowledge into a local policy, and the DRL agent can leverage it with the approximate policy iteration scheme. The HLG injected human knowledge represented by heuristic rules into differentiable decision trees and thus transformed into policy networks [6] as the trainable local guide. The trainable local guide can generate local policies and not only guide the DRL agent at the initial training stage but also further optimise the local policy to provide continuous guidance in the remaining training stage. In the following sections, we briefly describe the HLG framework presents a human-in-the-loop method for solving dynamic environments and shows an experimental study.

2 DEEP REINFORCEMENT LEARNING WITH HUMAN LOCAL GUIDE (HLG)
This section briefly introduces a novel framework that harnesses high-level human knowledge to construct a local guide, aiding the DRL agent in optimising its global policy. Our proposed framework comprises a Human Local Guide (HLG) that provides two forms of guidance for a DRL agent.

2.1 From Human Knowledge to HLG
Humans can swiftly make decisions by transforming high-level knowledge into heuristic rules [2] represented using decision trees [18]. However, decision trees cannot provide continuous optimisation in combination with deep neural networks. Therefore, the
HLG leverages Differential Decision Trees (DDTs) [5] to represent human knowledge for local state space that can warm up local guide policy. The local guide encodes the human local knowledge from DDTs into DRL policies by utilising DDTs as function approximations for the DRL policy networks [14]. The local guide contains a set of heuristic rules designed from high-level human knowledge. Our method towards translating heuristic rules into DDTs involves a procedure that retains the interpretability of the rules while allowing for the gradient-based optimisation inherent to DDTs. The local guide contains a set of heuristic rules designed from high-level human knowledge. It inputs feature data \( x = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \) yields based on partial observation within the local state space \( S_g \) and generates a guiding policy \( \pi_g \). Each rule has the form of:

- **Rule**: IF \( x_1 \) meets \( D_1(\neg D_1) \) and \( x_2 \) meets \( D_2(\neg D_2) \) and ... \( x_n \) meets \( D_n(\neg D_n) \) THEN Action is left(right)

The \( D_n \) is the decision criteria corresponding to the feature \( x_n \). Our method towards translating heuristic rules into DDTs involves a procedure that retains the interpretability of the rules while allowing for the gradient-based optimisation inherent to DDTs.

### 2.2 Enhancing DRL with Local Action Guide (LAG)

A simple and intuitive way to build a local guide is to switch between the global and local policies by switch mechanism [3]. An indicator function \( \rho \) is needed to provide the criteria for switching. The overall policy after \( n \) iterations can be formulated as follows:

\[
\pi^{n}_{LAG}(s) = \begin{cases} 
\pi_{ALAG}^n(s) & \text{if } \rho(s) \geq \rho^{-}, \\
\pi_{LAG}^n(s) & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]  

(1)

LAG would benefit from a performance jump start but could not outperform a suboptimal guide, so this local guide is only suitable for optimal pre-trained local policies.

### 2.3 Enhancing DRL with Adaptive Local Action Guide (ALAG)

While LAG can provide good initialisation for global policy, it does not enable the local guide to be continuously optimised to provide optimal performance. To obtain an adaptive improving policy, we develop a perturbation model \( \Psi_{\Phi}(s, a_{\pi}^g, \Phi) \) which produces an adjustment to action \( a_{\pi}^g \) in the range \([\Phi, -\Phi] \). The perturbation model allows agents to increase the diversity of guide policy actions \( a_{\pi}^g \), thus exploring more states with salient stimuli rather than being strictly limited to safe actions \( a_{\pi}^g \). The global policy \( \pi_{ALAG} \) at \( n \) can be formulated as:

\[
\pi^{n}_{ALAG}(s) = \begin{cases} 
\pi_{ALAG}^n(s) & \text{if } \rho(s) \geq \rho^{-}, \\
\pi_{ALAG}^n(s) + \beta^n_{ALAG} \Psi(s, a_{\pi}^g, \Phi) & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]  

(2)

where \( \beta^n_{ALAG} \) is the weight of the perturbation model \( \Psi_{\Phi} \). At the beginning of training, \( \beta^n_{ALAG} \) should be set close to 0 to improve the initial performance of the local guide and then gradually increase to 1 to introduce a well-trained perturbation model.

The size of the parameter \( \Phi \) can play a role in balancing safety and exploration. The threshold parameter \( \Phi \) needs to be chosen by humans according to the environmental characteristics. The threshold parameter \( \Phi \) should be small if the environment is high risk. If the environment does not contain risky states, then the threshold parameter \( \Phi \) can be increased to get more action space that improves global policy. The perturbation model updating can be formulated as follows:

\[
\Psi_{\Phi}^{n+1} \leftarrow \arg \max_{\Phi} \mathbb{E}_{s,a' : \pi} \left[ \Psi_{\Phi}(s, a_{\pi}^g + a') \right] 
\]  

(3)

### 3 EXPERIMENTS

![Figure 1](image.png)

Figure 1: Crossing task (A) in MiniGrid and performance of training efficiency (B) as well as exploration capability (C) in this task.

We outline the experimental tasks designed based on the discrete control environment (MiniGrid) [1] as shown in Figure 1-A. Task in MiniGrid with sparse rewards and partially observed setting. The red triangle represents an agent who can observe light grey shading regions and coloured objects, including lava and goal. In this study, we compare four methods across our experimental tasks. The first two methods are our ALAG and LAG, injected with the above human rules. We set the baseline method PROLONET [13] that encoded the same human rules. We also set PPO [12] as the baseline since the other three methods are all implemented based on PPO in the work. They are used to verify whether our local guides with human rules can improve training efficiency and exploration capability, as the results are shown in Figure 1-B, and -C, which indicates the best performance of our method.
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