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ABSTRACT

We introduce Game Theoretic Assisted Pruning (GTAP), a method that utilizes power indices from cooperative game theory to efficiently prune deep neural networks without compromising their predictive performance. GTAP identifies and removes less impactful neurons based on their contribution to the network’s performance, streamlining the model’s size and computational load. Our empirical evaluations show that GTAP outperforms traditional pruning techniques, achieving a better balance between model compactness and accuracy across multiple types of neural networks.

ACM Reference Format:

Many of the successes in machine learning in the last two decades are attributed to deep neural networks (DNNs). However, this may require a large number of parameters, resulting in a high compute and resource cost. A network’s performance is attained through the collective computation of all neurons, making cooperative game theory well-suited to reduce a network’s complexity.

The most direct approach is to reduce the size of the network, in terms of either the number of neurons or the number of connections between them, referred to as pruning [2]. Several pruning methodologies exist such as stochastic regularization [12, 16] and co-adaptation [11]. In some cases, there may even exist subnetworks whose prediction accuracy exceeds that of the original full network, sometimes referred to as “winning lottery tickets” [10]. However, providing methods for finding such winning tickets is a significant algorithmic challenge [6, 18].

Rather than using heuristics, we seek high performing subnetworks (winning tickets) by viewing the neurons of a network as agents playing a cooperative game, where the neurons work together to maximize network performance [17, 22]. This enables us to use game theoretic tools that measure each participant’s contribution to the collective goal [1, 3, 4, 7, 20], with the added benefit of interpretability. These cooperative game principles are model agnostic and thus can be reformulated in other learning problems.

Our Contribution: We propose Game Theory Assisted Pruning (GTAP), a method for pruning neural networks based on cooperative game theory. We define a cooperative game, which views the individual neurons of the trained network as agents working in teams, aiming to produce a highly accurate predictive model.

Under this view, the value of every subset (coalition) of neurons is the quality of the prediction using a network that uses solely these neurons (with all other activations masked out). We then estimate the relative impact of each neuron on the performance of the entire network using solution concepts from cooperative game theory. Given the relative impact estimate of each neuron we construct the sub-network by retaining only the high impact neurons, or adding neurons gradually in decreasing order of impact.

To determine the relative impact of neurons, we use power indices [5] — existing game theoretic solutions designed to estimate the impact that individual members of a team have on the overall team performance, such as the Shapley value [20] and the Banzhaf index [1]. We also propose a parameterized version of the Banzhaf index, called \( \beta_d \), where \( d \) is a parameter reflecting the predicted proportion of neurons required to get a good prediction. To select the parameter \( d \), we apply an uncertainty estimation process, akin to the Dropout procedure [21] commonly used to reduce model overfitting in machine learning. Our uncertainty estimation procedure considers randomly eliminating neurons in the trained model, and attempts to characterize the network size where we transition from being relatively certain about making a good prediction to being uncertain about our ability to have a high performing model.

We empirically evaluate our framework by pruning several prominent neural network architectures. For image classification we consider the convolutional neural network LeNet5 [15] on MNIST [15], and for natural language processing tasks, we consider a feedforward model on news topic classification [8] and emotion classification in social media texts [19]. We also consider the issue of scaling up to large neural networks, reporting results for the AlexNet [13] architecture on Tiny ImageNet [14]. We show that our game theory pruning methods can outperform existing pruning baselines.

For full algorithmic details, results and related work, we refer the reader to the full paper version [9].
Pruning Neurons Based on Power Indices. In pruning neural networks to enhance efficiency, we explore various methods centered around power index calculations. The simplest, non-iterative approach involves a one-time estimation of power indices to select the top \( r \) most powerful neurons for retention, known as Top-\( n \) Pruning. On the other hand, Iterated Pruning and Iterated Building represent more complex, iterative methods that adjust the network over several cycles. Iterated Pruning progressively removes the weakest neurons and recalculates power indices, aiming to reduce the network to \( r \) neurons by excluding the least powerful ones in each iteration. Conversely, Iterated Building starts with an empty network, gradually adding neurons based on their power indices, recalculating and including the most influential neurons in each step until the network reaches the desired size. While iterative methods promise greater precision by constantly refining neuron selection, they demand significantly more computational resources compared to the simpler single-estimation Top-\( n \) Pruning approach.

1 EMPIRICAL EVALUATION

We empirically evaluate the performance of GTAP and contrast it to multiple baselines [2, 10], by pruning both feedforward neural networks and convolutional neural networks. We carry our evaluation on two image classification datasets, MNIST [15] and Tiny ImageNet [14], and on two natural language processing datasets, one for topic classification [8] and one for social media text emotion classification [19]. For the full range of results we refer the reader to the full paper [9]. The neural architectures we prune are feedforward and convolutional neural networks. Networks were trained from random weight initialization, so as to perform a clean slate retraining of the networks to verify the winning ticket’s success.

Experiments: We apply our GTAP method for neural network pruning using power indices (Shapley, Banzhaf, Biased Banzhaf) and compare it against traditional pruning baselines [10]. We conducted experiments on modified LeNet-300-100 and LeNet5 architectures, focusing on selective pruning of layers while fully discussing the implications of uncertainty bands for pruning decisions. This approach aims to optimize pruning efficiency by carefully selecting neurons based on their calculated power indices. For full discussion of the uncertainty bands and their implications see the full paper [9].

1.1 Game Theoretic Pruning

We examine the ability of GTAP to prune neural networks while retaining high accuracy. We show "compression curves", where the x-axis is the target size for the pruned network, and the y-axis is the accuracy of the pruned model. Better pruning methods have curves that are higher for a wide range of pruned network sizes.

1.1.1 LeNet5. Using the bias parameter \( d \), that maximizes the uncertainty, we applied Top-\( n \) and Iterated Pruning for LeNet5 with MNIST, using \( d \)-biased and plain Banzhaf index and Shapley values. Figure 1 compares the performance under these indices.

Figure 1 indicates a significant improvement in the performance of our GTAP method over the baselines (for all ranges of pruned network size) showing that at least for these architectures and datasets, game theory can enable strong pruning methods.\(^1\) We note that Top-\( n \) Pruning exhibits higher improvement over the baseline, indicating that a one-shot selection of the highest power indices does not fully capture the importance of neuron interactions.

1.1.2 NLP Tasks. We show that GTAP also achieves good results in natural language processing.

We examine two text classification tasks: topic classification [8], identifying the news topic of a text, and emotion classification [19], pinpointing the specific emotion conveyed by the text. A simple neural network model using a binary term frequency vector for text representation and consisting of three layers with 256 neurons each is employed for classification. After training, we apply the GTAP pruning method to this model and assess its performance against weight-based pruning baselines. We include one example here, with the additional results in the full paper.

2 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

We explored the impact of game theoretic concepts in neural network pruning. We proposed GTAP, which utilizes cooperative game solution concepts such as the Shapley value and the Banzhaf index. We demonstrated GTAP’s effectiveness across various datasets, including MNIST and Tiny ImageNet for image classification, and topic and emotion classification for NLP, showing its ability to significantly reduce neural network size and computational demands while maintaining robust predictive performance. Our findings reveal that GTAP not only surpasses traditional pruning benchmarks like Weight Magnitude Pruning and Weight Gradient Magnitude Pruning but also offers key benefits including elimination of the need for retraining, high parallelizability, and model agnosticism, making it applicable to a wide array of machine learning models beyond those reliant on weight magnitudes.

\(^1\)Similar trends hold for LeNet-300-100.
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